

^a Guardian Publications Ltd., 1986. Published by Guardian Publications Ltd., 164 Deansgate, Manchester, M60 2SR, for and on behalf of The Guardian and Manchester Evening News P.L.C., 164 Deansgate, Manchester M60 2SR.

Don't 'sneer' at SDI

Edward Teller once observed that SDI opponents must be criticised on the program:

- 1) It won't work and will waste a lot of money.
- 2) It will work all too well and will force the USSR into desperate adventures.
- 3) Both of the above.

E. P. Thompson and Keith Puttick (November 2) seem to be firm supporters of Option 3.

There are several points which these gentlemen have not addressed in their letters. First, there seems to be little question that the individual components of the SDI could "work" — that is, could destroy many missiles in flight. Professor Puttick's assertion that "the Soviet Union could easily swamp any defensive system at present in prospect" is just that — an assertion. Whether or not the marginal cost of defence exceeds the cost of the additional missiles and countermeasures and whether system hardware and software can be made sufficiently reliable are legitimate questions to be resolved by the extensive research required before an effective strategic defence system can be designed.

Tears for fears

It is encouraging to find a serious French journalist (Andre Fontaine, "No tears at the Elysée over Reyjavik failure", Nov. 2) concluding that the process of nuclear disarmament is long overdue, but his structures of course are only for the US and the Soviet Union.

He states without comment, or presumably criticism, that the present French and British governments both wish to update their present deterrence to make them credible. Yet, later, the prospect of a British Labour Party now fallen victim to the delusion of unilateral nuclear disarmament

coming to power, is talked of in terms of "threat" and "risk". He does not specify why it should be a delusion for a small European country to attempt to change its Nato contribution from a nuclear to a conventional one.

Surely the reason for French agitation over a possible reversal of British policy on nuclear weapons is because the spotlight would then be turned on a France following perhaps her own delusion of an independent nuclear deterrent?

Doreen Marsden,
Lower Naddon Cottage,
Bridford,
Devon.

Better read than red

The Education Minister, Kenneth Baker, suggests that children should "read and understand Animal Farm by the age of 12". What can he be thinking of?

While it is true that even an intelligent eight-year-old could read the words of Animal Farm, could a 12-year-old understand that Snowball is really Trotsky? But perhaps Mr Baker is thinking of introducing compulsory courses in Marxist-Leninism.

Similarly, David Copperfield would seem to ascribe the pat hates of his Cabinet colleagues. The early part of the story deals with the misfortune of a single parent; unmarried cohabitants squabbling in an upturned boat; and a young hero who rejects a YTS course in the wise business, provided by kind Mr Murdstone. Are

these the role-models 16-year-olds should be imitating?

But perhaps John Mortimer's Paradise Postponed, with its clear advice on how to become a Tory cabinet minister, should be included on every English syllabus.

John Purkis,
Highway Avenue,
Cambridge.

Men who mean business

I have top secret information from the Kremlin and the White House regarding their activities for the next few weeks —

1. CIA to hide Russian ambassador's spare tyre and then let his tyres down whilst out of town.

2. In reprisal, KGB to seriously weaken seams on US ambassador's

tem at present in prospect... is just that — an assertion. Whether or not the marginal cost of defence exceeds the cost of the additional missiles and countermeasures and whether system hardware and software can be made sufficiently reliable are legitimate questions to be resolved by the extensive research required before an effective strategic defence system can be designed.

Secondly, the fact that the Soviet Union is orchestrating a frenzied public campaign against "Star Wars" while pursuing the elaborate research program described by David Whitehouse is very disquieting. If the Soviet Union comes to possess what it believes to be an effective SDI system

unmatched by the West, the actual capabilities of such a system will be of little significance. The effects on Soviet behaviour could be dangerous and far-reaching.

Thirdly, the attraction to Messrs. Thompson and Puttick of a 60 per cent or even a 90 per cent reduction in superpower strategic arsenals is understandable, but it is hard to see how such a reduction would have more than symbolic value in the absence of strategic defence. Disarmament lobbyists never tire of reminding us of the vast overkill capacities possessed by the USA and USSR. Huge percentage reductions in strategic weapons would still leave both sides with enough warheads to destroy each other along with their allies and perhaps everyone else.

Finally, SDI opponents seem determined to portray pro-SDI scientists and laymen either as ignorant bores who cannot see the obvious impossibility of strategic defence or as cynical careerists who find it a cornucopia of high-paying jobs and research grants. If Messrs. Thompson and Puttick were asked whether they classify themselves as Soviet dupes or as conscious Soviet agents of influence, they would be justifiably outraged. Recognition of the possibility of honest disagreement over a complex issue would be more fitting than an air of enquiring moral superiority, but few observers expect this sort of civility from the "peace" movement.

Robert M. Kelley,
Dahran, Saudi Arabia.

Pipe dream

You refer (October 10) to the SDI as "unworkable", and a "pipe dream". Why then, are the Soviets so anxious to stop it?

Tom Olason,
Via Italo Piccagli,
Roma.

Hero from the Spanish war

At least one notable exception comes to mind in the face of Jane Walker's blanket assertion that not a single volunteer who fought in the Spanish Civil War became an officer in the American forces in the second world war (October 26).

And that was Herman Botchar: one of the second world war's few authentic heroes. As a sergeant with the 32nd Division, he was commissioned a captain in the field for the role he played in leading his detachment in cutting off the Japanese at Buna and for building open a critical corridor for reinforcements in spite of repeated counterattacks. I have the impression that he was a major before he was killed at a later stage in the war.

And if you believe that, may the Lord help you.
Dr. Alan Hargreave,
Casilla 3048,
Santa Cruz, Bolivia.

All these tactics are designed to show the allies firmness of resolve and that "we really mean business" with regard to the arms limitation talks.

And if you believe that, may the Lord help you.
Dr. Alan Hargreave,
Casilla 3048,
Santa Cruz, Bolivia.

No-frills living for the clergy

Re: Rev. Hill-Tout's "Wages of Virtue" letter (September 28). Fascinating to hear a complaint about a pay rise from anyone these days. Such rectitude as an Anglican priest in Canada, at the lowest pay scale, I get about the same stipend (£315,060), a house, car allowance, and interest-free car loan, dental and group insurance, pension plan, and tenure that a professor might envy. Sounds OK, doesn't it?

Yet there are problems. Moonlighting is common; few clergy families get by without a spouse's part-time job; isolated clergy in the north subsidise (beyond tithing) their parishes out of their own pockets. As for "credibility and integrity amongst the poor", the pay and benefits package is at least lower middle class.

No doubt some of the truly poor would gladly trade their status for such a plain but adequate living. I reverently agree that the church ought not to attract those seeking a sinecure rather than a true vocation, but among parsimonious parishes and dioceses we are not in danger of creating a new class of

Shades of a phoney war on Aids

The Government's initiative on Aids, while welcome, is too little, too late. Without any costings attached, it is still unclear how seriously the Government is taking this scourge.

After four years of inaction, the DHSS finally allocated a paltry £1.89 million, then topped this up with a trifling £6.3 million last December. As a proportion of this total NHS budget, this is precisely 0.04 per cent: a ridiculous amount for what people throughout the West see as the biggest threat to health this century.

There are now estimated to be some 40,000 positive carriers in Britain today; that number is expected to rise exponentially to about one million by 1990, with perhaps 15,000 Aids victims by that date, a 70-fold increase in just four years. Since health economists in Britain believe that the care of each Aids patient, including all related public expenditure, costs £10,000 to £20,000, the total could well rise sharply in the next few years to some £150 million to £300 million.

I would estimate that expenditure of some £50 million to £100 million over next year would be needed to secure maximum cost-benefit, and to give the following options:

An explicit and pervasive health education programme for everyone, not just those in the high-risk categories. A programme of hard-hitting TV commercials is needed; overmedicalisation will simply be counter-productive.

Since contraceptive sheaths are probably the best protection against transmission of the virus, they should be made freely available from doctors and clinics. Since infected needles are another major source of transmission, free needles and syringes should be made available.

Screening should be widely and freely available for those who wish

to use it. Sexually transmitted disease clinics are ideally suited to retain confidentiality, providing free walk-in services which do not require referral and guarantee anonymity.

Special emphasis needs urgently to be given to a campaign aimed at students and school-leavers to ensure that everyone in this age range is made fully aware of the risks.

This requires a substantial investment in these services.
Michael Meacher, MP,
(Lab, Oldham W),
House of Commons.

Misleading influences

I learn from the BBC news that Mr. Norman Tebbit has publicly castigated the BBC for having been influenced, in its one-sided reporting of the Libyan bombing last April, by "Libyan propaganda".

It is proper that Mr. Tebbit should thus identify a notorious bias often shown by the BBC towards giving undue weight to the prejudiced version of events normally put out by a small country which has been attacked by a large one. One has only to recall the way in which the BBC was misled by Czech propaganda in 1939 and in 1968, despite the reasoned explanations for the German and Russian attacks respectively put out by Berlin and Moscow at the time.

This latest lapse is particularly regrettable after it seemed, from the BBC's reporting of the Falklands and Granada conflicts, that it had seen the error of its ways.

P. G. Halg,
Glennmore Street,
Wallington, NZ.

May I pre-empt Conservative Central Office and suggest that to counter the "red" triangle a small bust of Mr. Norman Tebbit be affixed to the top left hand corner of our screens during programmes requiring appropriate discretion.

Chris Bayliss,
London SW17.

Tory morality

We can never accuse the Tory Party of not telling the truth. It claims to be the citadel of Victorian morality, and indeed it is. The impeccable exterior remains, as does the clumsy handling of matters of passion.

The dutiful wife, the loyal child, the still link hands to protect the Victorian facade. Long live Victorian morality! Tory gentlemen, please do not move one inch from the old ways — you keep them so well. Awfully unromantic for the well, of course, but frightfully Victorian.

Jan Marjoribanks,
Moleworth St,
Nth Adelaide.

PM's appeal to 'popular capitalism'

by James Lewis

THE QUEEN'S speech, the traditional opening to a new parliamentary year, was seen less as a legislative programme than as the opening of the Prime Minister's campaign for a third term of office. There is nothing in Mrs Thatcher's programme that cannot be quietly dropped if the urge to go to the country — possibly next summer — becomes irresistible.

The main item on the agenda will be a new Criminal Justice Bill — a sure-fire winner with Tory supporters — which will allow the courts to confiscate the profits of serious crime and snaffle the attorney general to appeal against what seem to be lenient sentences. The only other controversial proposal is a Bill to replace the rating system in Scotland with a poll tax. England is promised — or threatened with — a similar reform only if the Conservatives win a general election.

The remainder of the programme consists of minor — and mostly predictable — measures. Local councils will be required to privatise more services. The legal disadvantages of illegitimacy will be removed. Safety measures at sports grounds will be strengthened. The promised Channel tunnel will be given parliamentary sanction.

Such an agenda will allow the Government to convey an impression of competent inactivity and to concentrate on what Mrs Thatcher sees as the main election issue: the virtues of "popular capitalism" and the weakness of Labour's unilateralist defence policy which, she said, would produce a "fearful, fellow-travelling Britain".

Labour, for its part, will concentrate on the economy and poverty.

42 killed by 'carelessness'

THE driver of the coach which ran into a traffic queue on the M6 in Lancashire last year, killing 13 people and injuring 42, was acquitted this week of causing death by reckless driving.

But John Bonnyman, aged 63, of Edinburgh, was found guilty of driving without due care and attention at Preston Crown Court. He was fined £200 and disqualified from driving for three years.

The jury convicted him by a 10-2 majority after deliberating for almost 3½ hours. The judge, Mr Justice Macpherson, ordered costs to be paid from central funds.

The accident happened near roadworks at Barton, north of Preston, on October 21 last year. Mr Bonnyman, a driver for 30 years with a clean record, was taking an Eastern Scottish coach from Edinburgh to London when he ran into stationary traffic.

The POA challenged the authors of the report, the Prison Reform Trust, to hand over any evidence to the police for investigation.

The report details the accounts of six unnamed prisoners in four of the ghola where rioting, in April, coincided with an overtime ban imposed by prison officers in their dispute over manning levels.

In one case, at Northsea low security prison near Lewes, Sussex — which was wrecked by fire — an inmate reportedly was told that an officer said: "There's only four of us on tonight so you can cause a bit of havoc in the camp."

Another prisoner, at Wymott youth custody centre, Preston, Lancashire, claimed that officers had made remarks like "Do a good job tonight, lads" and "Do us proud, lads."

Mr John Bartell, the chairman



"Would you like to come up for a commercial on Aids?"

The decline of 8.2 per cent in its share of the vote was its biggest fall in any by-election of this parliament. The Tory share fell by a staggering 13.8 per cent. The victory, if not the seat, went to the Liberals, whose share rose by nearly 20 per cent.

Given the special circumstances of Knowsley — a local Labour Party at odds with itself, and an electorate with particularly strong reasons for hating the Tories — there were no particularly valid lessons to be drawn from the result except, perhaps, that the Liberal-BSD Alliance continues to perform better in real elections than it does in the opinion polls.

The aura of an economy being geared up for a general election was heightened by figures showing a fall of nearly 78,000 in unemployment, a spurt in manufacturing production, and a continuing sharp rise in incomes. The fall in unemployment was the biggest since May, 1983, which was the month before the last general election, and reduces the dole queue to 3.2 million. The statistics, however, conceal the fact that more than half a million people are only kept out of the queue by short-term job-creation programmes, and that many thousands more have been excluded by changes in the method of counting.

A "foreful" new propaganda campaign to alert the public to the risks of Aids was approved at the first meeting of a special Cabinet committee set up to try to combat the disease. Some 23 million leaflets will be sent to every household in the country, backed by newspaper advertisements, a poster campaign and public service advertising on television.

The trouble for the Government is that public opinion, while favouring stronger action against Aids, would probably frown on measures suggested by the medical profession such as the free issue of condoms and of injection needles for drug-users. A lifting of the television ban on condom advertising would also be regarded by many voters as an encouragement of promiscuity. The leaflet campaign is therefore probably designed to condition public opinion for sterner measures that may have to be taken later.

Two Government-appointed inspectors, armed with new legal powers, began an interrogation of Mr Geoffrey Collier, the disgraced former head of securities at the Morgan Grenfell merchant bank. In the City's first scandal since the so-called "Big Bang" two weeks ago, Mr Collier resigned from the bank after admitting breaking its own house rules on personal share dealing, though he denied using inside information, gained at the bank, to make a swift profit on shares he knew were about to rise.

Until two weeks ago the Stock Exchange had its own regulations to prevent "insider" dealing. Since the Big Bang, however, the banks have been left to regulate themselves.

The prince has taken a block of 40 rooms, including the luxury royal suite, in the Santa Catalina hotel on the outskirts of Las Palmas. A hotel spokesman said that his booking, made on Thursday by the Saudi royal household, has come as a complete surprise.

Since Crown Prince Abdullah is the government's link-man with Syria and has a Syrian wife, there was speculation in Riyadh that his absence was a calculated snub.

Buckingham Palace officials initially expected him to be replaced by another prince in the line of succession, but King Fahd's decision to step in personally saved the day.

"It's a rather impressive gesture by the King," Mr Stephen Day, head of the Foreign Office Middle East department, said. "It's an Arab tradition of hospitality which was not anticipated but which is very welcome."

Collier's alleged offences may well have been a triumph for self-regulation. But the Trade and Industry Secretary, Mr Paul Channon, took the precaution of arming inspectors with new powers which could land Mr Collier in contempt of court if he fails to co-operate in their investigations.

The first anniversary of the signing of the Anglo-Irish Agreement, designed to bring about closer cooperation between the London and Dublin governments, was marked by violent protests in Belfast, during which police fired plastic bullets at an attacking Unionist mob.

Hundreds of families, mostly Catholics, have been intimidated out of their homes since the historic signing of the agreement twelve months ago. New-style Protestant paramilitary groups have emerged on the streets of Northern Ireland. The record has, however, survived the worst efforts of extremists on both sides to destroy it, though the pace of reform has been painfully slow.

Supporters of the pact have been particularly aggrieved that the single-judge Diplock courts for dealing with terrorist cases have not been replaced by the promised three-judge system.

Lack of progress may well be due to the fact that the Irish Republic will soon face an election which the present Prime Minister, Dr Gerry FitzGerald, could lose. It could equally well be true that the strength of Unionist opposition has diminished Mrs Thatcher's early enthusiasm for the agreement.

King counteracts prince's 'snub'

CROWN Prince Abdullah of Saudi Arabia, who allegedly left his country during the visit of the Prince and Princess of Wales for health reasons, is enjoying the company of a group of "lady entertainers" in a five-star hotel on the Canary Islands.

His place as host in Saudi Arabia was taken by King Fahd who installed the royal couple in his brand-new guest house, the Nekheel palace (palace of the palm trees).

The prince has taken a block of 40 rooms, including the luxury royal suite, in the Santa Catalina hotel on the outskirts of Las Palmas. A hotel spokesman said that his booking, made on Thursday by the Saudi royal household, has come as a complete surprise.

Since Crown Prince Abdullah is the government's link-man with Syria and has a Syrian wife, there was speculation in Riyadh that his absence was a calculated snub.

Buckingham Palace officials initially expected him to be replaced by another prince in the line of succession, but King Fahd's decision to step in personally saved the day.

"It's a rather impressive gesture by the King," Mr Stephen Day, head of the Foreign Office Middle East department, said. "It's an Arab tradition of hospitality which was not anticipated but which is very welcome."

Inmates at Peterhead gaol in Aberdeen will be able to make complaints implying criminal conduct by prison staff by writing letters in sealed envelopes to the police or procurator fiscal. It was announced in the Commons. There is to be an inquiry into prisoners' grievances about conditions and treatment — which started last week after a siege in which a prison officers was held hostage.

Michael Croft

MICHAEL CROFT, director of the National Youth Theatre for 30 years, has died at the age of 64. Mr Croft, a schoolteacher, was one of the most influential and under-awarded talents in British theatre. He ran the National Youth Theatre single-handed in the face of every kind of crisis.

Cool response to offer by Argentina

ARGENTINA this week said that it was ready to formally end hostilities with Britain if London drops its 150-mile "protection zone" around the Falklands.

But President Alfonsín's conditional offer is likely to be dismissed by Mrs Thatcher as an attempt by Argentina to entrap Britain into negotiations about the islands' sovereignty.

The Argentine offer came as part of a proposal to engage in "open dialogue" as a preliminary to substantive negotiations with Britain. The British Government is now studying the proposal.

The new proposal was announced in Buenos Aires. It coincides with President Alfonsín's visit to Washington, and comes a week before the annual Falklands debate in the UN General Assembly.

Britain has justified the protection zone as a conservation measure, but is widely interpreted as a deliberate move to maintain the political gulf between Britain and Argentina on the Falklands.

Last week, the US joined other members of the Organisation of American States in a resolution censuring the British move to control the fisheries around the

By Hella Pick and Jeremy Morgan
In Buenos Aires

Falklands as an aggravation of the sovereignty issue. (See page 5.)

The Prime Minister, speaking in Washington after her meeting with President Reagan, again declared: "We do not discuss the sovereignty of the Falklands; we will not discuss it; and we do not recognise that there is a problem about the sovereignty of the Falklands."

The new proposal says that Argentina would be willing to end the state of hostilities with Britain in exchange for "global negotiations" on the Falklands dispute.

Argentina was willing "to begin overall negotiations with the UK" under the terms of existing UN resolutions, which call for talks on all aspects of the dispute. Even though there is no mention of sovereignty in the UN resolution, Britain has always rejected the formulation on the grounds that the resolution implies a British willingness to negotiate the Falklands' status.

Now, Argentina is proposing a preliminary period for "previous and preparatory steps" during which there should be "an open dialogue" with Britain, "to create the conditions of trust necessary to successfully face the negotiations and set a timetable for them."

Talks and later negotiations were aimed at "resolving all the problems that exist between both parties, as well as the sovereignty dispute". Argentina's proposal mentions trade, consular and diplomatic relations, transport and communications, and the conservation and preservation of fishing resources in the region.

Until now, the Alfonsín Government had followed the principle of its military predecessors, that the June, 1982, surrender of Argentine troops in the Falklands meant that a battle was lost, but not the war. The government had always argued that it was not obliged to declare an end to hostilities because the war was never declared in the first place.

Mrs Thatcher, however, is unlikely to be impressed by such gestures unless they are accompanied by a firm commitment to set aside the sovereignty issue.

Mrs Thatcher brings Reagan down to earth

MRS THATCHER returned to London well satisfied that her weekend dash to Camp David had successfully brought President Reagan's nuclear weapons policies down to earth again, and secured his endorsement for a set of arms-control priorities more realistically tailored to European anxieties and her own election timetable.

In effect, she has extracted from her senior ally a promise that he will end his flirtation with the campaign for nuclear disarmament at the Iceland summit which threatened to undermine the Government's defence posture in an election year and put the British Trident missile purchase in doubt.

As with the December, 1984, Camp David agreement on defining the purpose of Star Wars research, the Prime Minister may well have pulled the NATO fat out of a fire started by an Administration overfond of playing with matches.

"Nuclear weapons cannot be dealt with in isolation, given the need for stable overall balance at all times," the two leaders agreed in a statement hammered out from a British draft.

It explicitly confirmed that no drastic cuts will be made in the nuclear balance of terror without first ensuring that Western Europe is not left facing Soviet superiority in conventional weapons.

The agreement is to be conveyed to Mr Gorbachev — in response to his own message of last week — by Britain's ambassador to Moscow, Sir Brian Cartledge.

After a helicopter ride from Washington on Saturday morning, Mrs Thatcher was greeted with a kiss by Mr Reagan, and driven off to a lodge in an electric golf cart over which he appeared to have only erratic control. Despite the ominous symbolism of this start, a senior US official later declared: "These are two leaders who consult often and deeply, who share ideas,

and their whole session... had that warmth to it, and an informality to it."

After a whirlwind round of talks with senior administration officials, and with the President himself, at his official retreat in the Maryland hills, the Prime Minister appeared at the British embassy bearing a "small statement" which contained most of what she appeared to have wanted from the latest manifestation of the Ron and Meggie special relationship — which left them chatting alone for almost an hour on Saturday.

The modest price Mrs Thatcher seemed happy to pay was that she managed to refrain from any hint of criticism of her friend — most conspicuously over the Iran arms deal fiasco.

Having discussed the issue with both Vice-President George Bush

By Michael White
in Washington

over breakfast and with the President himself, the Prime Minister explicitly refused to detect any differences between them. "I believe implicitly in the President's total integrity on that subject," she said.

In return, Mrs Thatcher got the President's commitment to press ahead with the US Trident programme and "confirm his full support for the arrangements made to modernise Britain's independent nuclear deterrent" by purchasing the US system.

Doubt that the US might "do a Skybolt" and cancel a system, as it did in 1980, should now recede on the Tory benches — though Mrs Thatcher characteristically stated that she had never shared such doubt.

More important for Washington's conservative NATO allies, alarmed by sweeping talk of imminent nuclear disarmament by the superpowers in Reykjavik, Mrs

Thatcher drew Mr Reagan away from what some British officials privately call "the visionary stuff" and "utopian talk" — some of which the US has tabled in Geneva — by identifying three priorities for arms control.

The first is Medium-range or INF agreement for "zero-zero" deployment in Europe only if there is agreement to restrain short-range missiles, which, Mrs Thatcher said again, "are stationed in such positions that they can fall on England and Wales".

The second is a 50 per cent cut over five years in the US and Soviet strategic offensive arsenals, with no mention of the British or French systems and none of the commitment which both superpowers pay lip-service to of eliminating all ballistic systems by 1998, together with cruise and bomber systems in the disputed Soviet version after Reykjavik.

The third is a ban on chemical weapons. "In all three cases," effective verification would be an essential element.

The Trident deal was reaffirmed without difficulty. While officials on both sides who braved the light snow at Camp David seem to have had to work hardest was in the statement's longest paragraph, which eventually gave British participants considerable satisfaction and underlined the impression given by the Prime Minister herself that an arms-control deal is not just round the corner.

It read: "We confirmed that NATO's strategy of forward defence and flexible response would continue to require effective nuclear deterrence, based on a mix of systems. At the same time, reductions in nuclear weapons would increase the importance of eliminating conventional disparities. Nuclear weapons cannot be dealt with in isolation, given the need for stable overall balance at all times."

Why America is so foreign

EARLIER this month (November 9) a Guardian leader expressed a bafflement at its (the Guardian's) in particular, and Europe's in general, inability to understand the United States, concluding, "for good or ill, it is becoming a much more foreign land." Indeed.

I am an American coming up to my first anniversary as an expatriate and in the year I have been living in London have had much time to think about the US and would like to explain this new strangeness to you.

The changes the Guardian notes and doesn't comprehend are real and are caused by a confluence of three things: a hideous decline in the standards of education after the second world war; the dramatic shift of population under 40 from the northeastern quarter of the country to the south and southwest; and the oligarchical control of the media.

From elementary school through a first degree at university, the US educational system does not produce, by European standards, literate people. It produces people capable of ingesting and regurgitating facts but incapable of rationalisation. The term American educators and sociologists use is functional illiteracy.

When a European, or a Guardian leader writer, meets an American graduate they automatically

over-population in a post-industrial age (concepts they can't grasp because they cannot be reduced to True and False). With the life they grew up expecting to live no longer possible, they try to set down roots in windswept desert soil and fall.

They come home from work and put on the TV and see a relentless stream of Evangelists offering simple ideas about how to feel better, and about salvation, ideas that do reduce to true and false. The evangelists invite them to become part of a community (and please send \$10 to my ministry). And many do.

Or they switch on the news and hear a President presenting simple messages night after night, often very close to the message their evangelist is presenting. Communism is evil. Sandinistas are bad. Russians lie. Having been educated in the American way, slogans they can understand, ideas they can't.

The structure of network news in which complex issues are boiled down to information nuggets with good pictures adds this alienating. Which brings us to the third factor.

The oligarchical control of the media — you have the three networks, whose differences are gauged not by editorial or institutional commitments but by the on-camera persona of their news

By Michael Goldfarb

presenters. The reason that there is no difference is that their bills are paid by their advertisers and the business of television is tailored to suit their needs and the needs of their intermediaries, the ad agencies.

It is impossible to imagine one of the networks saying, look the President is giving the same speech over and over again, so we'll stage managed public appearances, this is not news so we won't cover the event.

A network couldn't risk offending their advertisers, who, given the rates the networks charge for ad time, tend to be very large corporations, whose chief executives tend to be of the anno philosophic stripe as the President.


The White House media staff are aware of this. So the circus goes on the road, the President gets off a good quote line and there is an outstanding visual effect at the rally and night after night the President's men get the equivalent of a free party political broadcast in the guise of a news report.

And isolated in the new towns, where connection to the larger world is through the TV set, the President's message seen daily for years at a time becomes the truth in spite of the evidence of people's underdeveloped reasoning capacity.

The power of television can't be minimised. There is a good reason why political candidates pay millions of dollars to buy air time.

So there it is. You have a population under 40 who can absorb data but can't think, living isolated from their roots in sprawling arc-bouts of hideousness seeking a sense of community, not in each other but by what they get through the tube.

The Reagan machine, with its staff culled from Los Angeles ad agencies and market research firms, understands all this and have found the perfect cybernetic way to write their message. The Democratic have someone as media effective, Mario Cuomo. It remains to be seen if they have the intelligence to nominate him.



An Avroca aircraft leaving RAF Waddington near Lincoln on a sales drive last week.

US Falklands line annoys Britain

BRITAIN is deeply disappointed by the Reagan administration's decision to join the other members of the Organisation of American States in censuring the Government's unilateral imposition of a 150-mile fishing zone around the Falkland Islands. Mrs Thatcher is thought to have brought the matter up at the weekend talks with President Reagan in Washington at Camp David.

But officials insisted that the US could not have acted differently in the context of the OAS meeting, and that Mr George Shultz had sought to water down the OAS resolution against Britain.

During the Falklands war the United States gave Britain logistical support as well as help with intelligence-gathering, even though this risked compromising its relations with Latin American

governments. But since 1982 the US has voted in support of UN resolutions, calling for a negotiated solution to the rival claims for the islands' sovereignty.

Even so, it is hardly welcome in London that Mr Shultz, the US Secretary of State, has now voted in support of an OAS resolution that expresses "strong concern over the new element of tension and potential conflict, introduced by the declaration of October 18" (Britain's declaration of the fishing zone) and which urges Britain as well as Argentina "not to take actions that introduce changes in the already delicate situation."

The Americans are said to have been forthright in expressing their displeasure with the government's imposition of the fishing zone around the Falklands, arguing that this was unnecessarily provocative and further complicated any attempt to secure a negotiated settlement between Britain and Argentina on the sovereignty issue.

By Hella Pick

Bulgaria, deliberately set out to provoke Britain by encouraging these two countries to fish near the Falklands, and writing Argentina's sovereignty claims into both agreements.

But the British case has won very little sympathy. Spain, with its close links to Argentina, was among the first to condemn the British move, and has warned that its trawlers are unlikely to apply for fishing licences from Britain.

The Soviet Union has criticised the British move but has not said what it intends to do about its future fishing around the Falklands. The Foreign Secretary, Sir Geoffrey Howe, is said to be optimistic that the Russians will avoid any situation that risks a clash with the Royal Navy in the Falklands.

The new fishing season begins in February. But well in advance of this, Britain's ability to assert its role over the fisheries zone will be tested by the extent that trawlers from other countries apply for fisheries licences.

Argentinian navy boosted

By Jeremy Morgan in Buenos Aires

ARGENTINA'S efforts to boost its military capacity in the South Atlantic took another step forward last week when President Raul Alfonsín launched a modern missile frigate and formally handed over another to the navy.

Both ships are armed with M-38 Exocet sea-to-sea missiles made in France and assembled in Argentina and the vessels are reported to have a top speed of 27 knots. The 1,700-ton ships, built at Argentina's naval shipyards to a design by Blohm and Voss of West Germany, are the last two of a series of six missile frigates destined for the navy.

Defence observers here commented the frigate fleet would be a "useful complement" to Argentina's only aircraft carrier, the 25 de Mayo.

The aircraft carrier spent all but

the initial stages of the Falklands war based at the navy's base at Puerto Belgrano, 700 miles south of Buenos Aires. But it was hurriedly reconditioned after the war and on extension of its landing deck means that since 1983 it has been suitable for use with Blenheim, the French-made aircraft that inflicted so much damage with Exocet attacks on Britain's Falklands task force.

However, the future of the navy's surface fleet remains in doubt. With the admirals' interest focused on a submarine programme, it is thought that some of the frigates could be sold to raise finance.

President Alfonsín's Government insists that there have been no new warships or weapons purchases since it took office in late 1983.

Boeing promises jobs

By David Fairhall

IF the RAF finally decides to buy the American Boeing Avroca radar aircraft instead of the British Aerospace-CEC Nimrod the US company has promised that it will more than cover the cost by placing contracts with British industry.

Boeing's vice-president, Mr Jerry King, said that its "best and final offer", submitted to the Ministry of Defence, has increased the promised offset from 100 to 130 per cent of the contract value within eight years. Mr King would not disclose the absolute value, but he estimated that the offset programme in its peak year would generate about 8,000 jobs, many of

them in hi-tech areas. At the MoD's request Boeing has quoted separate prices for a fleet of eight or six E-3 Avroca aircraft, purchased on their own or in conjunction with a small number for the French Air Force — the larger combined total would slightly reduce the unit cost. The RAF Avroca numbers are equivalent to a Nimrod fleet of 10 or eight aircraft.

The high initial price of the Avroca is one of Boeing's disadvantages in competing with Nimrod — the other being the Government's concern about losing a British capability in this area.

Oman exercise

FOR the first time since the Falklands campaign a substantial British tri-service force will be exercising later this month outside its familiar NATO area. The aim is to demonstrate that Britain still retains the ability to lift a force of brigade size over several thousand miles, with air and naval support, either to fulfil a residual military commitment or go to the aid of a friendly nation.

The setting for the exercise, codenamed Saif Sareen (Swift Sword), is the Arab sultanate of Oman, at the entrance to the Gulf. The premise of the war game is that Oman has appealed for British



ish military assistance against an unspecified "external threat". Some 5,000 men will take part with ships, four Toronado bombers and two air defence Tornados.

OBITUARY

Siobhan McKenna

SIQBHAN McKENNA, who has died at the age of 63, was one of the great Irish actresses. Her Saint Joan, which I saw at the St Martin's Theatre in 1955, and which won her the first ever Evening Standard Best Actress Award, was the most moving I have ever seen: a beaming, round-faced girl who had the defiant certainty of a born saint and whose cry of "God is alone" had, as Tynan attested at the time, "tears flowing everywhere in the house."

Miss McKenna was born in Belfast, educated at the National University of Ireland, and made her professional debut in Oalway in 1940 in *Tons Of Money*. In Gaiety she gave her first Saint Joan in Gaelic, later moving to the Abbey Theatre, Dublin, playing in English.

In 1947 she made her London debut at the Embassy Theatre,



Siobhan McKenna

went on to play the title role in James Forsyth's *Haloise* in the West End, and in 1952 did a Shakespeare season in Stratford. She was at home in many cities

By Michael Billington

and cultures, the French theatre being one of her earliest passions. But, for the London playgoer, she is always associated with the Irish classics to which she brought a scrubbed, shining simplicity and a voice that carried its own lifting music.

Miss McKenna had a rare gift for tragedy. She played Juno in O'Casey's *Juno And The Paycock* in Dublin and London, taking over direction of a production of the *Mermaid* in 1973 on the death of Sean Kenny.

Her contribution to Irish theatre over the past half century was immense, and extended to direction and translation of plays in Gaelic. But she will be remembered above all for her acting, her capacity to make great palpable, and to let her soul show when playing the great keening Irish heroines.

By Aileen Ballantynes

million into building a hole in the ground? It's lunatic."

He said that if the council had not granted permission, CND would have appealed to the Environment Secretary at vast cost to the ratepayer.

It is understood that under normal circumstances, the scheme would have been rejected because it did not meet the sewage requirements.

CND in £88 million hole

THE Conservative-controlled Hertford district council, deep in the comfortable Homa Counties commuter belt, has granted outline planning permission for an underground nuclear shelter to house all the town's 22,000 people.

The plans were put forward by Hertford and Ware Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament in an attempt to embarrass the council. The local CND branch estimated that the 64-tier shelter would cost

£88 million. All it has to do now is come up with the money.

The proposed site for the haven, 266 metres deep, complete with lifts, underground water supply and three-tier bunk beds, is in the grounds of Hertford Castle.

Permission to go ahead was given without discussion. The council leader, Mr John Sartin, said that there was no possibility of the shelter being built. "Can you imagine somebody putting £88

THE WEEK

Renault boss shot dead



Rolando Olalla

THE mutilated body of the top Filipino leftwing labour leader, Rolando Olalla, was discovered in Manila.

Government leaders expressed shock over the torture and killing of Mr Olalla, and leftist leaders called for massive protests. Leftist leaders accused the military of involvement in the murder.

Mr Olalla was killed a day after announcing that leftist groups would rally behind President Aquino if disgruntled military officers attempted to seize power.

KIM IL SUNG, President of North Korea, welcomed a visiting Mongolian delegation in Pyongyang on Tuesday, giving the lie to reports that he had been assassinated. He has been "sequestered" by rumours several times previously, but there is speculation that he is involved in difficulty in getting his son, Kim Jong Il, nominated to succeed him.

ISRAEL'S Foreign Minister, Mr Peres, said he had cleared up "a misunderstanding" with Britain after explaining in a telephone call to Mrs Thatcher that Israel had not abducted the nuclear technician, Mr Mordechai Vanunu, from British soil.

Mr Vanunu had revealed secrets at Israel's nuclear weapons programme in articles in the Sunday Times.

ISRAELI aircraft attacked a Palestinian guerrilla naval base near the port of Sidon in south Lebanon, injuring two people.

The air raid came a day after a Jewish settler was killed in Jerusalem by Arab guerrillas.

The next day Israeli helicopters attacked another Palestinian base in the Sidon area.

POLICE with dogs charged striking black workers at General Motors' car plant in Port Elizabeth. The strike was caused by the company's plan to "divest" from South Africa and sell the business to local management. Black workers downed tools, protesting that they had not been consulted. Since then 87 of them have been dismissed. Police were called in when altercations erupted between strikers and new workers brought in to take their jobs.

TWO cabinet ministers in the former Lesotho Government of Chief Leaboa Jonathan were abducted by armed men at the weekend, driven into the mountains, and shot dead, according to a family friend.

Mr Desmond Shiba, the Minister of Information and Broadcasting under Chief Jonathan, and Mr Vincent Makhele, the Foreign Minister, were visiting a friend with their wives when they and their hosts were kidnapped at gunpoint, taken into the Maluti mountains, and shot.

CHILE'S Socialist leader, Jorge Molina, confirmed that he, Pedro Course, for the conservative National Party, and Eduardo Ortega, for the Christian Democrats met two military chiefs separately to give them copies of the bases to sustain a Democratic Regime, an 87-point political pact signed in September by 13 parties ranging from right to moderate Marxist left.

The meetings with the police chief, General Rodolfo Sangu, and the navy's commander-in-chief, Admiral Jose Yorio Marino, was the first formal contact between the armed forces commanders and the opposition in 13 years of military rule.

PAKISTAN'S President, General Zia, has claimed that India is massing troops on the border with his country.

India denied any aggressive intent, saying movements related to training purposes.

In India at least ten people have been killed by Sikh terrorists in Hindu temples in the Punjab leading to riots and curfews.

THE French terrorist group, Action Directe, is suspected of killing Mr Georges Besse, chairman of the state-owned Renault car company, as he returned to his Paris home on Monday night.

Mr Besse, aged 58, was walking the short distance from his chauffeur-driven car to his first-floor flat in Montparnasse when he was hit in the head and chest by several bullets and fell bleeding heavily to the pavement. By the time his family rushed to his side, he was already dead. Neighbours said they heard five shots. The attack was apparently carried out by a man and a woman on a motorcycle.

The Prime Minister, Mr Jacques Chirac, flanked by Mr Robert Pandraud, Minister for Security,

Outsider on the inside

IVAN BOESKY, the Wall Street wheeler-dealer who was fined a record \$100 million for insider trading at the weekend, had an edge: he slept only two hours a night. While rivals snored, he played international tennis on his 300 telephone buttons.

"Vampires live on blood," he once commented on this restlessness. "I live on coffee. It's vampire's plasma."

Although he likened his body to "a Mercedes on the autobahn that doesn't stop running," this induced throttle confusion, "I am not proud about it," he said. "I have often thought it would be nice to sleep a long time." He may get his wish: his guilty plea to one criminal count could earn him up to five years in the slammer.

Boesky, aged 49, is the son of a Detroit delicatessen owner who emigrated from Russia in 1912. His book *Merger Mania*, published last year, was dedicated to his father's memory with the words "His life remains an example of returning to the community the benefits he had received through the exercise of God-given talents."

What Boesky, one of America's richest men, did not reveal was that his own talents did not multiply by the algebric *ju-ju* detailed in such chapters as "The Saturday Night Special" and "Tender Offers" but by insider trading and a huge network of contacts unavailable to punters hoping to emulate his success at corporate raiding and mega-mergers.

Ciba-Geigy accused of polluting Rhine

By Sara Lenz in Basel

SWITZERLAND'S largest chemical company, Ciba-Geigy, has been apilling high concentrations of weedkiller into the Rhine for more than a year, according to the environmental organisation, Greenpeace.

The authorities in Basel have confiscated all Ciba's records on production of the herbicide, Atrazine, to investigate whether the company has been violating pollution regulations. Ciba refused to comment.

Atrazine is used by farmers to kill weeds. It kills the photosynthesis of river plants for more than a year. Greenpeace claims that dangerous quantities of Atrazine must have penetrated the food chain all along the Rhine and entered the North Sea.

Greenpeace's chemical coordinator, Mr Stefan Weber, found an Atrazine concentration of more than 1.5 grammes per cubic metre in the water purification plants of Ciba's Schweizerhalle factory on October 27, 12 days before the company admitted accidentally spilling 400 litres of the chemical into the Rhine from another plant several miles down-

rushed to the scene. Mr Chirac said that he was "horrified by the brutal murder" of Besse. "Nothing can justify or explain such a gesture. Such an act demands that all be done to find and punish the guilty."

In a message from Africa, where he is on tour, President Francois Mitterrand said: "The death of this remarkable man, in such tragic circumstances, is a great loss for his family, for Renault, and for France."

The international wing of Action Directe, which is linked with West Germany's notorious Red Army Faction, is usually thought responsible for such drastic terrorist attacks as the murder of General Rene Audran in January, 1985,

and an unsuccessful attempt on the life of a vice president of the national employers organisation, Mr Guy Brana this April.

Police investigating the shooting thought it might have been timed as a warning to the authorities before the forthcoming trial of an Action Directe leader accused of murdering two policemen here in 1982.

The government, shaken by the wave of Middle Eastern terror attacks which reached their peak in September, now seems to face a severe internal challenge. Politicians, industrialists and trade unionists reacted swiftly and indignantly to the murder of a respected national figure.

The trade union, FOMM-CFDT,

Israelis knew of El Al plot

By Ian Black in Jerusalem

ISRAELI intelligence received an advance general warning, from an Arab source, of a plot to blow up an Israeli civilian airliner earlier this year. It was this heightened state of alertness that led to the discovery of the bomb that Nazir Hindawi's girlfriend was carrying as she tried to board an El Al flight at Heathrow airport last April.

Inconsistencies and gaps in Hindawi's evidence, and the highly favourable results for Israel, led many observers to argue that Israel's Mossad secret service was somehow involved in the affair. In the extreme version, the whole operation was somehow masterminded by Mossad. Another theory was that Israel had got wind of the plot and allowed it to run its course — almost until the end — in order to reap maximum political capital.

But new information from Jerusalem and Damascus this week suggests a far less conspiratorial — but no less intriguing — explanation for how the plot was foiled. Had the bomb gone off, it would almost certainly have led to a new Middle East war, initiated by Israel in revenge for Syrian involvement in the operation.

Intelligence sources now say that early this year Israel received a general warning, from an unspecified Arab source, of a plot to blow up an El Al plane. The sources refuse to say whether the information came from an officer in Syrian air force intelligence. Lieutenant Colonel Muftid Akour, who is now reported to be in detention in Damascus on suspicion of being an Israeli spy.

Colonel Akour, who was mentioned by Hindawi as one of the officers involved in the mission, is thought to be a deputy to Lieutenant-Colonel Hailtham Said, head of recruitment and foreign operations for air force intelligence in Damascus.

Western diplomats in the Syrian capital were told privately by government officials last month — shortly before the end of the Hindawi trial and Britain's dramatic decision to sever relations — that President Assad now knew who was responsible for the operation and would deal with him when the time was ripe.

Israeli intelligence is now convinced that Assad himself, who is renowned for his caution and circumspection in the face of a militarily superior enemy, did not know about the El Al operation and that it was the result of a power struggle between one or more of the half-dozen Syrian security and intelligence organisations.

It remains unclear, however, whether Colonel Akour is under suspicion as the man who initiated the London operation without clearance from above, or whether he warned the Israelis that they should be on their guard in general about a plot to plant a bomb on an El Al plane.

THE British Government suffered a further setback in the Australian courts when it failed to delay the trial caused by its own attempt to prevent publication of the memoirs of former M15 officer Mr Peter Wright. The New South Wales Appeal Court ruled that — to be no reason why the trial — to be conducted by Mr Justice Powell who last week sharply attacked the Government for indulging in "mumbo jumbo" and "serpentine wavings" — should not go ahead.

The trial before the New South Wales Supreme Court began with the reading of affidavits from Sir Robert Armstrong, the Cabinet Secretary, and an affidavit from an unnamed former M15 officer who trained Mr Wright in the early 1950s.

Sir Robert argues that Mr Wright is in breach of his duty of confidentiality, that the knowledge gleaned from books by former members of the security service could help terrorists, and that if Mr Wright were allowed to publish his memoirs individuals who voluntarily helped M15 would no longer have the confidence to do so.

Reagan fails to quell unease

By Alex Brummer in Washington

PRESIDENT REAGAN'S folksy attempt to explain away his flip-flop on America's policy towards dealing with Iran's state-sponsored terrorism has failed to quell congressional criticism or ease the deep-seated concerns of Americans.

The President's 15-minute televised speech, delivered at breakfast speed during prime-time television, was seen by critics in the political and foreign policy establishment as riddled with evasions and half-truths. There were also some clear signs that Americans — having endured a 444-day trauma with Iran in 1979-80 — are not yet psychologically prepared to trust Ayatollah Khomeini's regime or tolerate weapons systems deliveries.

The first reaction from Tehran hardly appeared to justify the White House's confidence that it was dealing with the right people in the revolutionary regime. The President's 15-minute televised speech, delivered at breakfast speed during prime-time television, was seen by critics in the political and foreign policy establishment as riddled with evasions and half-truths. There were also some clear signs that Americans — having endured a 444-day trauma with Iran in 1979-80 — are not yet psychologically prepared to trust Ayatollah Khomeini's regime or tolerate weapons systems deliveries.

Kept in the dark

By Michael White in Washington

NOT only did Mr Reagan instruct CIA director William Casey to keep Congress in the dark about the Iranian deal (see page 15) but the chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, Admiral Crowe, was also kept in the dark — the first he knew about it was from the newspapers.

Since Admiral Crowe is only just recovering from the shock of President Reagan's willingness in Reykjavik to contemplate giving up all strategic weapons (also without consultation) he was reported last week to have asked all his top staff officers if they knew they did not. The irony is underlined by the curious fact that Admiral Goldwater is still serving office, as is Lieutenant-Colonel Oliver North, of the NSC staff, who is said to have accompanied Mr MacFarlane (also a marine colonel, but retired) on his abortive mission to Tehran.

Iranian President, Mr Ali Khamenei, said that the US may have had discussions "with international smugglers, perhaps, this has nothing to do with us." Mr Reagan "agreed there was no proof that Iran had anything to do with or supported terrorism," he added.

For many critics, the most damaging aspect of Mr Reagan's approach was his attempt to obscure the truth and shift the blame for what is being described here as America's most serious foreign policy blunder in 25 years from his own hearth to that of others.

It was the press — always an easy target — which was responsible for the "rumours" and the "utterly false" charges that the US "has shipped weapons to Iran as ransom payment for hostages." This was a dangerous slip into Nixonian self-righteous rhetoric.

Another fine mess, Ollie

THE mysterious White House aide Lt Col Oliver North, whose disappearance at the weekend touched off jitter about another US cake-walk in Iran, has been a key figure in most of America's recent covert operations.

The 43-year-old Marine officer is reported to have played a leading role in planning the invasion of Grenada, the mining of Nicaraguan harbours, the aerial interception of Arab terrorists after the Achille Lauro hijack and the illegal emergence of contra forces.

North's emergence in the secret White House trade of arms for hostages renews questions about the resignation last year of his boss, Robert McFarlane, as national security adviser. McFarlane, who has since popped up in Iran with a bizarre spy kit that included an Irish passport and a cake, is also a Marine officer and the man responsible for North's remarkable rise to power.

North, who is officially deputy director of the National Security Council's political-military affairs branch, is said to have relied on a network of military officers and civilian operatives whom he met in the Vietnam War (where he won the Silver Star and two injury medals — "Ollie still carries metal around," according to a colleague).


One of these contacts was the shadowy General John Singlaub, whose private fund-raising for the Nicaraguan contras is believed to have been directed by North, circumventing the ban on official contact with the rebels.

North's propensity for mission impossible earned him the nickname Knight Rider. An associate says: "Whether you like it or not a government needs the kind of people who get on a plane and fly into a Central American jungle, no questions asked. Ollie's perfectly suited to that job."

Critics are wondering how many more fine messes Ollie will get America into. A buttoned-down Texan, he is said to be driven by a consuming hatred of communism. Two years ago he turned down an unrepeatable offer of a marine command, perhaps content that his White House position allowed him to pull rank on senior officers in the name of the President — a privilege which caused deep resentment.

The price has been high. Last year his family moved into a military base after his dog was poisoned, his home picketed and a stream of threatening phone calls protested at his exposed contra links. He was also named as a target by the Abu Musa faction.

Described as an action officer who reads the President's mind, North once participated in an operation called Educating Ronald Reagan. This required "exposing the president to the realities of nuclear conflict." Just how he achieved this, and what equipment he had access to, is not known.



FILL IN THE COUPON. THEN FILL IN CHEQUES FROM A DEPOSIT ACCOUNT THAT PAYS OVER

10.3%⁰

INTEREST.

If you have sterling funds to invest, find out about the Sterling Money Account managed by offshore bankers, Tyndall & Co (Isle of Man) Ltd.

You earn high interest (the result of Tyndall Group's muscle in the money market) and enjoy the convenience of a cheque book for all normal banking services, including instant access to your funds, and payment of large bills (minimum cheque £250). The account can also be used for standing orders.

Investment is in UK banks, local authorities and building societies. Interest is credited four times a year, with the interest itself earning interest to give you an even higher return (currently 10.78%). Post the coupon for details.

⁰ Rate at time of going to press

To: Tyndall & Co. (Isle of Man) Ltd, Dept GW23/11
PO Box 62, Tyndall House, Kensington Rd, Douglas,
Isle of Man, U.K. Tel: (0624) 29201. Telex: 628732.
Please send me details of Tyndall Money
Accounts. Sterling ☐ US Dollar ☐

Name

Address

Tyndall & Co. (Isle of Man) Ltd

Le Monde

ENGLISH SECTION

Lomé dominated by Chad fighting

(November 16/17)

COMMENT

City whistle-blowing is not enough

THE smarming scandals on both sides of the Atlantic involving financiers who make illegal fortunes out of "inside information" will make waves for years to come. Share prices fell earlier this week because of fears that merger mania in the US, which has helped to push share prices up, will subside sharply once the thrust of the deals now known to be based on inside knowledge, illegally acquired. The most dramatic example involves Mr Ivan Boesky. Instead of a New York investing genius, he turned out to be at the centre of a web of informers who tipped him off about coming takeover bids so he could buy cheap and sell dear. The risk capitalist had removed the risks. He was only found out because he was shopped by another arbitrageur, Mr Dennis Levin.

So far Whitehall and the City have come out of the latter's own mini-scandal well. The Government has brought forward its tougher powers which enable it to compel suspects to co-operate under pain of contempt of court. Morgan Grenfell has acted

with commendable speed to require the resignation of Mr Geoffrey Collier, its £200,000-a-year securities director who was discovered using inside information to buy shares in a company which was the object of a take-over bid. But, as everyone in the City knows, this may be only the tip of the iceberg. As the City's activities become more internationalised, the scope and temptation for scandal will become much greater. Mr Collier (whose trade was buying and selling shares) was told by another arm of Morgan Grenfell (which advised companies on take-over bids) of an impending bid on a "need to know" basis, because of Mr Collier's expertise about valuing shares. Need to know? Surely this is a clear case of an imperative not to know. Unless these so-called Chinese walls separating the banking and dealing arms of City firms are not to blow away entirely, then much more needs to be done. Whistle-blowing is not enough.

The City's ability to detect rogue deals should be greatly improved later when computer systems are able to scan dealings check-

ing buyers and sellers. But as long as investors have the "freedom" to deal in nominee names, this approach will simply capture the amateurs. It will seldom be able to track down a deal originating, say, in the Cayman Islands by a completely anonymous company. If London banned dealing in nominee names, the business would simply shift elsewhere. The internationalisation of markets demands an international response in terms of policing it. A start could be made if Britain, Japan, and the United States made it illegal to engage in any new deal except where the ultimate beneficial owners are identified. It is morally repugnant to most people that the Government should spend so many resources seeking out social security scoundrels and checking the credentials of the unemployed while leaving so many illegal deals in the City unchecked. To its credit, the Government is now moving fast. But it should also be drawing up contingency plans to introduce a full blooded US-style statutory system in case the City's own self policing flags and fails.

Reagan's SDI in the Kremlin's interest

IF at the end of five years the deal on the table at Reykjavik comes about, and the US and Soviet strategic arsenals are reduced by half, the future of Britain's own deterrent as currently conceived will be even more doubtful than it is now. Certainly it is a big one. But it is a big one because Mrs Thatcher on her brief visit to Camp David. For the one-half reduction would be part of a two-phase programme in which ballistic missiles were eliminated entirely. But Britain's present strategy depends on American willingness to supply the Trident missile to which we add a British warhead. If the US has, in ten years' time, gone out of the ballistic business and placed its nuclear reliance elsewhere, whence comes the British deterrent?

Mrs Thatcher secured once again Mr Reagan's endorsement of the British Trident programme. What else could he say? Moreover the US will continue to modernise its own weapons until an agreement with the Russians is signed. That would have been the purest orthodoxy a few weeks ago, but it is not now, and there is a fault in it somewhere. If the American public is assured by the Pentagon that it can have security without its Minutemen, its MX, and its Trident, the Congress will look even more closely at projects to upgrade them. By that time the US will, saving a new President who disowns the whole concept, be even deeper into Star Wars (SDI) and the combined cost of both defensive and offen-

sive systems will be punitive.

However, the reduction or abolition of strategic forces is only part of the Reykjavik prospect. Before then comes the programme to remove altogether the intermediate-range nuclear weapons stationed in Europe. And here Mr Gorbachev is twisting the screw. Originally this was not to be linked with any surrender by the Americans of SDI, and there is no reason why it should be, because the two are unrelated. SDI does not cover either the European theatre or cruise missiles. Suddenly, however, they are linked in the Soviet drafts, and since there is no military reason why they should be, the reason must be political.

The Kremlin must know there is still mileage to be gained from the European anti-nuclear lobby. And by making not just disarmament in the large but disarmament in Europe contingent on the abandonment

History lives — and dies

MOST people's probable first thought on learning of the death of Vyacheslav Molotov, Stalin's foreign minister, must have been surprise that he had still been alive. Probably the only people outside Mr Molotov's inevitably sparse circle of friends and relations (he was 86) who knew he was still with us in 1988 were browsers who have happened upon his remarkable entry in the

current Who's Who. Though regrettably silent on the late diplomat's hobbies and recreations, the entry (which nestles between those of a former editor of the Daily Mirror and the leader of the Ulster Unionist Party) must surely be the only one in the volume to include membership of the executive committee of the Petrograd Soviet in 1917, let alone participation in the 1905 revolution against the Tsar.

Next year's Who's Who will be the poorer for Mr Molotov's passing. The thing about his life, of course, is that its shape was distorted. He became famous while still (by some standards) fairly young, but then disappeared from public view while continuing to lead a long life. This might be called the Norma Desmond affect, after the central character in Billy Wilder's film Sunset Boulevard. It particularly affected the stars of the silent movies who failed to make the transition to films in the talkies. In political life, however, it is more or less essential to live in a dictatorship to qualify. You need to be overthrown and, better still, disgraced. Provided that they survive premature death (not always easy in their trade), deposed politicians are the silent screen stars of our era.

In a decade or so, Mr Alexander Dubcek could be a challenger to the silent screen stars of our era. He is, however, though, by the fact that too many people will never forget him. The same problem does the claims of Blaise's General Guevara who is not gone, but not forgotten either. And, though it hardly does to mention him in the same breath, how many people know that Mr Pierre Poujade is still very much alive and well and only 65? But with the death of Mr Molotov, the palm for the world's most famous forgotten man now probably passes to Mr Georgi Malenkov, who succeeded Stalin as ruler of the USSR in 1953 only to be promoted a few years later to manage the Ust-Kamenogorsk hydro-electric power station. Mr Malenkov, born 1901, is still among us, still in Who's Who, and gives his address (like Mr Molotov) as c/o the Ministry of Social Security in Moscow. They clearly know a thing or two about ensuring a quiet retirement there.

How, at this stage, are mere onlookers (George Shultz, Margaret Thatcher, Jacques Chirac and humble newspaper readers) to assess the conflicting arguments? In fairness, the case for Reagan's

The US and the Falklands

ONE neat, but unwelcome irony. In London — an almost ritual appendage to the Speech these days — the Queen was busy "honouring" her Government's "commitments" to the people of the Falklands. In Grestonia City, meanwhile, all 31 foreign ministers from the Organisation of American States were unanimously passing a motion criticising Britain's new fishing zone around the islands for adding "another element to the existing situation of tension and potential conflict... over the Malvinas". UN resolutions on sovereignty were duly endorsed. Efforts at "diplomatic negotiations" by the Argentine government were fulsomely applauded. And one name amongst 31 perhaps stood out in clear relief — George Shultz, Secretary of State, the USA.

America's profound distaste for Britain's Falklands intransigence has been known for years. But the OAS resolution goes further than ever before. It endorses — pretty explicitly — Buenos Aires' claims to sovereignty over the islands. It slaps President Alfonsín on the back. And it kicks Sir Geoffrey on the knee for his fishing gambit. Anyone who thought that the British position over sovereignty and the rights of the islanders might begin eventually to make a little headway should think again. We are, in all meaningful terms, as good as lost. The much touted superpower ally is now flatly in the opposition camp.

None of this, perhaps, matters too immediately in a world where — save for miserable accident — Britain and Argentina aren't going to war again. As long as the taxpayer is willing to pick up the tab, the Falklands can be maintained in a tolerably stable state: neither prospering nor declining. If (and when) Mrs Thatcher loses office, or retires to Dulwich, then matters may unwind somewhat. All the Opposition parties are pledged to negotiate a solution (a form of words that means negotiate about sovereignty). It is also, in truth, difficult to see any likely Tory successor in Downing Street shelling out hundreds of millions of pounds into the most uncommensurate of enterprises. But our present Prime Minister will have nothing to do with such grey (Foreign Office) prognostications. The Indy was utterly prepared to talk about sovereignty before General Galtieri's invasion but the Argentine landings, in some slightly unformulated way, appear to have wiped any of the old formulas for progress from the face of the earth — notwithstanding the self-evident facts that Galtieri is in gaol and President Alfonsín is one of the purest and most amenable democrats in South America.

Why should this be? Originally, it was said that the wounds of battle were too fresh to consider further negotiation. But time passes, scars form, and nothing happens. Originally, it was said (by Mrs Thatcher and her then Foreign Secretary) that the islanders would be formally and fully consulted about their future. A referendum. That hasn't happened either. Westminster has had no propositions to consider. HM Government has had no new arguments to put. There is only the most doleful vacuum: a refusal to shift or think, or do anything more — see the fishing ship — than react when the other side makes a minimal move. All of which would be tolerably defensible if the islanders themselves were being looked after and given the calm future that they crave. But that isn't happening either. For years the Falklanders have wanted their fisheries developed. Whitehall turned a deaf ear, and an empty purse. There's no official belief here that the islands (balefully shunned by the continent in whose shadow they live) can ever be more than an economic basket case. Equally Whitehall (because it knows the mortality of transient politicians) recognises that one day the 1,400 or so indigenous Falklanders will be told the truth and asked to choose. In the meantime, therefore, there is only a conspiracy of silence and indecision. A British government that really wanted to secure the livelihoods of the Falklanders would see Alfonsín as the best bet for settlement in modern history, and be hammering out a 25-year, 50-year, nay 100-year transition deal with him. But we seem merely to be waiting for something to turn up, and when it does, in Buenos Aires, we shall surely lament an opportunity cravenly lost.

Le Monde

ENGLISH SECTION

Speaking with forked tongue

THE KHOMENI revolution will last only so long and the United States cannot outlast it for good from Iran, the most important country in one of the world's most strategic regions. This, in a nutshell, is the reasoning put forward by President Reagan for justifying the resumption of contacts between Washington and Tehran and, in particular, the shipment of a "small quantity" of American arms. The secret talks conducted this summer in the Iranian capital by former White House National security adviser Robert McFarlane and the shipment of spare parts for Iranian fighter planes are on outright contradiction of Washington's official position of not negotiating with the Islamic Republic of Iran, a government that sponsors international terrorism, and imposing an embargo on all military equipment intended for either of the Gulf War belligerents.

The White House is well aware of this, and the switch had been carefully prepared by a restricted group of advisers in near-total secrecy without either Secretary of State George Shultz or Defence Secretary Caspar Weinberger being let in on what was afoot.

What does it amount to? An evaluation, stripped of ideological considerations, of a certain number of strategic realities. With its population of 45 million, vast oil and natural gas resources, a powerful army and a border hundreds of kilometres long it shares with the Soviet Union, Iran is a country that Washington cannot afford to neglect for long. True, it is still too early to resume diplomatic ties with a government which brands

the United States as the "Great Satan" and inflicted an unprecedented humiliation on it in 1979 when it seized American diplomats in Tehran as hostages. The American policy shift in the area is being taken very seriously by moderate Arab countries which are allies of Iraq and they have already voiced their concern.

In Tehran, the Iranian leaders are taking a more cautious line which perhaps reflects their own divided reactions to Washington's overtures. "There'll be no reconciliation with the United States," insists Iranian President Ali Khamenei, "so long as it continues to support the Zionist regime." But these, however, are fairly general reservations and they do not slam the door shut.

But the fact is, the White House's argument would be more convincing had it not been for the hostages. If the US media are to be believed, three shipments of arms were delivered to Iran over the past 18 months, and each time, oddly enough, an American hostage held by pro-Iranian Shi'ite fundamentalists in Lebanon was released. Reagan may have been putting his hand on his heart in swearing there had been no deal or horse trade and that his country was sticking by its unshakable determination not to negotiate with terrorism, but he just failed to convince Congress and the press to blame him for knocking under to the hostage-takers and damaging the credibility of Washington's official stand on terrorism. And those few sanctions later announced against Syria that will not dissipate the impression that the White House is saying one thing and doing another. (November 19/17)

USSR likely to remain in Afghanistan

A UNITED Nations report released in New York on Tuesday, November 11, considered that "the presence of foreign troops in Afghanistan and their participation in the conflict remains the direct cause of the intolerable suffering of the Afghan refugees," whose number it puts at over 5 million, including the one million "uprooted persons" still inside the country. The report, drawn up by Felix Ermacora from testimony provided by refugees, does however point out that the number of civilian victims has diminished considerably this year compared with 1985.

KABUL — The hill overlooks the city. Down below can be seen the teeming commercial district of Mandali and the traffic snarls on Kabul's main modern avenue, Maiwand. The roar of the traffic with the furious honking of car horns can be heard, but here on the top of the hill everything is silent and deserted. All around as far as the eye can see are bits of calico stuck on stakes driven into the soil which flap in the breeze. Each stake is planted on a humble mound. They are the graves of the thousands of soldiers of the regular Afghan army who have fallen since 1978.

The flags are either red, in the case of members of the country's sole party (the communist Afghanistans People's Democratic Party — APDP), or green, the colour of Islam. At the entrance to this "martyrs' cemetery" only one tomb stands out by its ornateness. The headstones indicate it is that of a general who had done his military training in the United States and the Soviet Union. Eighteen months ago when he was surrounded by guerrillas in the Panjshir valley he blew himself up with dynamite rather than surrender. Ahmad Din was posthumously made a "Hero of Afghanistan" — the country's highest distinction, modelled on its Soviet counterpart, which has been awarded to only three people.

Workers have been busy this summer cleaning and repairing one of the country's oldest and most impressive monuments — the

Baharak Karmal was a lawyer by profession, Sultan Ali Rakhman an economist and Mohammed Najib a physician.

Almost as soon as it gained power in April 1978, the APDP alienated the rural population, which is to say, practically the entire country. The peasants confounded the militants' expectations by taking up their guns to defend their traditional chiefs against these smoothies come down from the capital who wanted to redistribute their land holdings and turn the mosques into party offices. Beest by factional quarrels that were fought out with submachine-guns, in a matter of months the APDP showed it was incapable of holding, let alone managing, a country that was 80 per cent rural, Muslim and illiterate.

The party's more doctrinaire members wanted Soviet intervention. They got it even before actually asking for it, and the civil war, now combined with a foreign occupation, has dragged on ever since. The pioneer militants — physicians, lawyers and teachers — acknowledge the mistakes that were made and make no secret of their dissatisfaction.

Party Secretary Mikhail Gorbachev's arrival in the Kremlin has drastically changed the situation. Unlike his predecessors, who were too old and too tired to take a personal interest in the problem, Gorbachev quickly came to the conclusion that Baharak Karmal was incapable of bringing about peace and winning the war at the same time. Meanwhile, the Soviet army had not succeeded in winning the war either.

All this is tied in with the March congress of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union. The drawn features of the men the Soviets took with them and installed in Kabul in December 1979 were a sufficient indication of the ordeal he was suffering. Gorbachev and the CPSU's No 2 man, Yegor Ligachev, criticised Karmal for trying to apply the Marxist model "mechanically" in a country that

was not a Marxist-Leninist state. In the beginning the APDP was a small party of Marxist middle-class intellectuals who were both doctrinaire by reason of their (in many cases, French) philosophical training and short-fused by their Afghan traditions.

Lomé dominated by Chad fighting

The Lomé Franco-African conference which ended on Saturday, November 18, was dominated by the conflict in Chad where fighting has raged up again north of the 16th parallel. Paris has given its full approval to President Hissène Habré's attempt to reconquer the territory occupied by the Libyans and is planning an appreciable increase in the military aid it is giving N'Djamena. The French government appears to have concluded that the character of the Chad conflict has undergone a change as a result of a section of the opposition rallying to the government. What used to be a struggle between Chadian warlords is now turning into a war between Chad and Libya.

LOME — Apart from Chad, several other subjects were examined at the conference, but they were such recurring topics like southern Africa, sub-Saharan Africa's economic plight and the African debt. This changes in the Chadian situation, confirmed by President Mitterrand in a Radio France International interview on Wednesday last week, were underlined even more sharply by the President two days later. What he said was very significant as he has been keeping close watch on the situation and his remarks are always extremely cautious.

What he said in effect was that the character of the Chadian conflict has changed. It is in the process of turning from civil war into an international dispute involving two states. The world, he

for France of averting the necessity of providing air cover for Habré's forces at this juncture, something that neither Mitterrand nor Chirac at present wants. The Libyan forces present in northern Chad may after all be weaker than they appear and will have a hard time standing up to the Goukouni when they round them. Especially as they have come far from their rear bases, carrying with them a number of Libyan arms, including doubtless Sam-7 missiles. It seems that it is with such a missile that on Thursday a Libyan Marchetti plane was downed. Already more combative, these fighters should be strengthened in their new conviction that the French military aid channelled through N'Djamena.

It seems a reasonable enough calculation considering that the Libyan army's morale is said to be low. Nevertheless, it does involve a few risks for France particularly Colonel Gidyofy decides to go for broke and accept the challenge instead of waiting to see what wily old Paris might propose, even if Hissène Habré, whose power is said to be growing, is doubtless in no mind to grant him any.

On the other hand, politically, nobody is taking seriously the communiqué published on Friday by five factions hostile to Habré in which they announced that Goukouni (Gouder) had been stripped of his authority and that the leadership had been transferred to Mohammed Issa, a man known to be working for the

By Jacques Amalric

said, would soon be able to see clearly "where precisely are the origins of a dispute which has today become international".

Short of naming it, he could not have referred more clearly to Libya. And this when, on this same day, Hissène Habré filed a complaint against Libya with the United Nations Security Council.

Both the President's and the Prime Minister's aides acknowledge in private that Mitterrand's analysis implies a distinct change in French policy which must now adapt itself to the new reality on the ground. Now the change in the balance of power is becoming increasingly evident to French intelligence services which roughly confirm what Hissène Habré and his allies are saying.

More and more of the nomads living north of the 16th parallel are now rallying to N'Djamena, and the figure is said to represent a good quarter of the 150,000 Chadians in the north. There is even talk of 40,000 presumed followers of Goukouni Oueddei (who rebelled against N'Djamena) who are reported to have switched their allegiance to Habré. This would represent between 2,000 and 3,000 fighters, as they always move around with their households.

Determined to demonstrate it understands Habré, Paris is believed to have agreed to send additional assistance. This would include light weapons, communications equipment, humanitarian aid and medical aid (especially field medical units), uniforms and so on. All this means that France has given Habré the green light to carry out a several quick strikes well across the 16th parallel to make contact with Goukouni's followers, provide them with munitions and help the civilian population hard hit by recent Libyan bomb attacks.

The plan worked out by Paris and N'Djamena has the advantage

Libya. But in the already long list of Lomé conferences, this one could well go down as an important one in the Chadian case, which is certainly full of surprising developments. The central figure of the two-day conference was Hissène Habré, who remains as discreet as ever, to the point of remoteness, and is literally possessed by his conviction that Chad does exist, since he is in the process of creating it.


Other figures also contributed to the conference, of course, but their roles were more mixed. Félix Houphouët-Boigny, patently tired and anxious to show that he was still refusing to have anything to do with Mitterrand, whom he blames for allowing (the French daily) Le Matin and (the Socialist Party) publication L'Unité to write things about him that are usually not aired. The two men did indeed meet for about a quarter of an hour, but the Ivory Coast leader did not appear at any of the banquets and preferred to retire to Yamoussoukro on Friday afternoon, where he met Jacques Chirac the following evening. Chirac never ceased to reiterate his admiration for the "wise old men of Africa".

One last point whose effects it is still too early to evaluate — the idea of a Marshall plan for the countries of the south. The proposal was made by Eyadéma and taken up by Mitterrand who noted that 1987 would be the 40th anniversary of the European Marshall Plan which did so much to reactivate European industry after the end of the second world war. The question is whether the idea will go any further than Lomé. It is realistic to imagine the countries of the North feeling a sense of solidarity with the countries of the South similar to the way the United States reacted towards Europe at the end of the war? (November 18/19)

Continued on page 14

Chemical spill leaves Rhine in disastrous state

By Roger Cans



Drawing by Plantu

A fire on November 1 at the Basle chemical plant of the Swiss firm Sandoz has caused massive pollution of the Rhine. Two weeks of highly poisonous substances that drained into the Rhine killed tens of thousands of fish (the figure of half a million has been mentioned), especially eels, and forced several towns along the upper reaches of the river to take emergency measures to secure alternative sources of drinking water.

Sandoz has acknowledged its responsibility in the pollution and ordered all its subsidiaries to tighten safety precautions at their plants. The measure was appropriate as West German Environment Minister Welter Wallman had accused the Basle firm of breaking safety regulations. Since 1977, the firm has been "illegally" storing chemical products on premises intended for machinery, according to Sandoz's insurers. This breach of regulations was admitted in Barne by an official of the Swiss Federal Office of Environment Protection who considered that several clauses of Swiss legislation had been infringed.

BASLE — Ten days after the All Saints' Day fire, the Sandoz plant at Schweizerhalle is still a battlefield. Stocks of barrels rusted by fire and water, fire-damaged plastic sacks half-filled with white waste, floor reddened by dyes, and all this trapped in a tangle of crumpled metal girders. Workmen wearing gas masks and perched precariously on shaky remnants of the roof were trying to take down the asbestos roofing. Others, lifted up in pods by huge cranes, were cutting through girders with torches under the watchful eye of firemen who stood by ready to put out any new blaze. An acrid throat-grabbing stench hung over the whole place.

"We worked Saturday and Sunday," said a man who was helping out. He had come over on a bicycle. This is how people move around the 40 hectares (98.8 acres) of the Schweizerhalle Sandoz plant which specialised in the manufacture of insecticides, fungicides, herbicides and other agricultural toxins. (The other Sandoz plant is, right in the centre of Basle: it manufactures mainly dyes and medical drugs.)

The sun was shining brightly on this morning of November 10, so there was no fear that more liquids would drain off into the Rhine as a result of rain. "It's the leakage of mercury caused by a fractured pipe," explained Jean-Jacques Salzman, head of Safety and Environment Protection, who had rushed back from the United States when he heard of the fire.

"We had stoppered the pipe with a 3-cm thick plastic bung, but it popped under the pressure of the water. This time we poured in a 30-cm thick plastic plug."

So there should be no more chemical run-off flowing into the Rhine, unless the rain gets into the act. "We have a retention well around the plant," Salzman has tended to add. "It could not hold back the 25 cubic metres of water per minute that the firemen were pumping over the other buildings to protect them. But it is sufficient to hold back normal run-off."

A Nasle fireboat was patrolling the Rhine in the neighbourhood of the three effluent conduits of the Sandoz plant, and men wearing black suits and gas masks kept taking regular samples of the water which were carefully sealed in bottles for later analysis. The samples are sent to the central laboratory of the Zurich police which is conducting the analyses and the inquiry into the fire. It is still not known whether the blaze was caused by an electrical short circuit, a chemical reaction or arson.

More urgent, however, is an evaluation of the danger of the pollution and the risk to people's health. The chemical fumes caused by the fire appear to have produced nothing more serious than irritation as a result of the sulphur contents of the phosphoric acid esters derivatives of thiodi. The Jacques Salzman, head of Safety and Environment Protection, who had rushed back from the United States when he heard of the fire.

tween the hedges. The hundreds of tons of chemicals which went up in smoke have therefore caused less damage than the few dozen tons of liquids that ran off into the Rhine.

For the fact is, the "base products" washed into the Rhine are far more deadly than any insecticides found on the market," noted Alfred Exinger, an organic and therapeutic chemistry expert and head of the hydrological laboratory in Strasbourg University's faculty of pharmacy. He considered the tons of disulfoton and thiometon to be far more dangerous "in the short term" for the Rhine's flora and fauna than the mercury. The fact that fishes were killed immediately cannot be attributed to this mercury which must not be confused with the deadly methylmercury that killed 230 people in Japan in 1959 (Minamata) and left its traces in over 10,000 others. The eels, which live on the riverbed where the heavy compounds descended, might have been killed quite simply by the chemicals coming into contact with their scale-free skins.

"All drinking water is drawn from the phreatic layer at a depth varying from 15 to 80 metres," explained Gilles Rinck, hydrogeologist of the Geological and Mining Research Bureau, "and the catchment points are too

far from the Rhine to be polluted. 'It's a disaster,' says Ploheheim (Bas-Rhin) water bailiff Georges Siegel. 'The Rhine's water was just beginning to improve. Even sea trout were seen migrating upstream.'

As a matter of fact, the prefect has just placed a six-month ban on angling in the Rhine and its direct tributaries. "The old Rhine is not going to become clean overnight," commented the owner of a fishing tackle shop. He agreed that only bottom-feeding fish had been killed so far, but asked: "What'll happen to the others when there's nothing left for them to eat?"

The Germans are taking a stricter view of things. "Ninety-five per cent of the effluents going

into the Rhine are highly toxic," said Walter Lütke, a distinguished chemist at the University of Freiburg im Breisgau who is working with the US National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) on growing protein crystals in space. "Even Prussian blue can decompose into cyanide." He was particularly angry at the attitude of industrialists: "When I make a mistake in my calculations, I warn everybody. But the people at Sandoz say nothing. They're very arrogant."

Sandoz spokesmen point out, however, that the list of products stocked in the burnt-out warehouse was supplied to the Bas-Rhin and Haut-Rhin prefects as early as November 3. "Nothing was completely burned out. It is therefore a mix of chemicals which does not allow us to make any proper simulation. We are reduced to collecting filters of gas masks and airconditioning units and extracting their contents to establish a reliable spectrum."

Sandoz helples? Perhaps. But Swiss chemists are notoriously discreet, not to say secretive. It was German scientists at Offenbach who tipped off their counterparts in Strasbourg when they read the levels of their mass spectrometric recordings. The French authorities are much too reassured by analyses of their drinking water to ask any embarrassing questions, even though the Schweizerhalle checklist has still not been completed and the French Environment Minister is pointing out the seriousness of the pollution.

(November 12)

1247 metric tons of chemicals destroyed.

They are:

- insecticides (phosphorous esters, including 323 tons of disulfoton and thiometon): 624 tonnes
- herbicides (nitrophenol): 71 tonnes
- fungicides (ethoxyethyl hydroxide of mercury and oxazolidine): 39 tonnes
- emulsifiers: 23 tonnes
- Prussian blue: 10 tonnes
- solvent: 4 tonnes

When other less toxic substances are added to this list, the grand total of chemical products completely or partly destroyed in the fire comes to 1,248 tonnes.

COMMENT

India puts squeeze on Sri Lankan Tamils

Hundreds of Tamils from Sri Lanka were arrested on Saturday, November 6, in a police swoop through the South Indian state of Tamil Nadu. Several top guerrilla leaders were placed under house arrest the next day. Among them were two prominent heads of the biggest insurgent group, the Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam. They were named as Velupillai Prabhakaran, the leading guerrilla tactician; and the group's spokesman and theoretician, Anton Balasingham.

FOR THE first time since 1983, when they took up residence in Tamil Nadu, inhabited by people of the same racial group and only two hours by sea from their island, Tamil guerrilla insurgents from Sri Lanka have been given a severe warning by the Indian authorities. Their principal leaders as well as some one hundred of their followers were arrested, disarmed and put on file. The police also occupied several of their arms and munitions depots. However, much the central government in New Delhi may deny any responsibility in the matter — "Law and order in the State of Tamil Nadu are in the other states of the Union are the exclusive

responsibility of the state authorities," explained an official spokesman — it is clear that the federated government of Madras, which is a political ally of Prime Minister Rajiv Gandhi, acted with the capital's wholehearted approval.

The guerrilla leaders, who have since practically been put under house arrest, were naturally quick to accuse New Delhi of seeking to "appease" the government of Colombo, and this they argued was likely "to encourage the Sinhalese authorities to continue the genocide of the Tamil minority." But they must have realised that the operation was facilitated by the fact that relations have recently gone from bad to worse between Sri Lanka and the 50 million or so distant "cousins" who have offered them hospitality.

The police swoop could also be justified on security grounds, as a top-level meeting of heads of state is due to take place on November 17 in Bangalore, Karnataka, the state next door to Tamil Nadu. And Bangalore is only a hundred kilometres from some of the Tamil guerrilla training bases.

The fact is, while "categorically" denying such an interpretation, the New Delhi government has signalled to the Tamil separatists that its patience is running out and that they would do better to reconsider their refusal to negotiate with Colombo. Sri Lankan President Junius R. Jayewardene submitted a new offer of a solution at the end of June which granted a large measure of autonomy to parts of the island where the Tamils are in a majority, a plan that New Delhi considered positive. The offer, which caused the Sri Lankan government serious problems with the country's Sinhala majority, was formally dismissed as "inadequate" by the Tamil separatists.

Since then the Indian press has been carrying a growing number of articles attacking the Tamil guerrilla attitude and hinting that Rajiv Gandhi was becoming irritated with the Sri Lankan Tamils' intransigence. With the weekend police swoop, the Indian Prime Minister hopes to make them more amenable to reason. In Colombo, the government has no illusions; while expressing its satisfaction with the Indian initiative,

Continued on page 13

Attacks on French deal with Syria smack of hypocrisy

By André Fontaine

Freedom's smile: Pictured under a portrait of Syria's President Assad at the Foreign Ministry in Damascus before his flight to France are Marcel Coudari (left) and Conchita Bontag, the freed hostages.



IN THE course of 24 hours France set its hand to a (selfish) declaration of solidarity with Britain against Syria and offered public thanks to the same Syria for having facilitated, with Algerian and Saudi Arabian cooperation, the release of two (French) hostages held in Lebanon. There would appear to be a contradiction here, even if things become clearer on reading (Prime Minister) Jacques Chirac's remarks carried in the Washington Times, which (former Foreign Minister) Claude Cheysson rightly saw as a finely "consistent" setting out of France's policy in the Middle East.

True, this is not the only contradiction in these cases. There was a contradiction between the denial with which the Prime Minister reacted to the publication of excerpts of the interview and his office's embarrassed silence when the full text was subsequently printed.

There was a contradiction between the sharpness of the CDS's (Centre Démocratique et Social) — one of Chirac's coalition partners' reactions to what might have been said a fortnight ago on the negotiations with Syria and its silence today. Contradiction between the bluntness of some of the Prime Minister's statements in the interview he gave the Moon sect's newspaper and the extremely low-key reactions of the capitals concerned. Bonn to begin with and even — an appropos doesn't make a summer — Jerusalem. So much so that more inventive imaginations are wondering whether the "indiscretion" was not deliberate, whether it was not part of the arrangement, the deal as they say today, that was done over the hostages.

We do not for the moment really know the terms of the deal, which is why we cannot pass definitive judgment on it. The only thing which appears to have been more or less established is that there is no direct link between the case of the hostages and the Paris bombings.

In the first case, the privileged interlocutor is Tehran, in the second, Damascus. Under the circumstances, it is difficult not to see a coincidence between the release of Sontag and Coudari and the announcement that the French and Iranian ministers were on the point of signing a partial agreement settling the dispute concerning a down-payment the Shah made in his line on the purchase of nuclear power plants, which have now been abandoned by the ayatollahs. But as Syria, whose economy is in a sorry state, is now endeavouring above all to refurbish its reputation, it was agreed to show it in a good light at the moment the two hostages were released.

It is moreover revealing to compare what Tehran Radio, the Organisation of Revolutionary Justice and the Syrian authorities have been saying in the past 24 hours. The Syrians say they will make every effort to obtain the release of more hostages, while Tehran Radio tries to exploit the cracks in France's power-sharing arrangement by contrasting Jacques Chirac's realism with the policy followed previously by the

left. Chirac, says Tehran Radio, has "met many of the conditions laid down" by Iran for normalising relations.

As for the ORJ, which was holding the two freed hostages, it implicates the French government to the hilt by announcing that they were released following "commitments" made by Paris and expressing the hope that France would not "go back on its promises", in which case it would be obliged to act in a manner with which French leaders are familiar.

It couldn't have been put more elegantly. It is difficult for a Frenchman not to feel deeply humiliated, especially when he

thinks of the mechanism of so many official statements. Difficult also not to think that the day someone tries to write a new concession from us, he will only have to take more hostages (assuming that all the hostages have been freed by them). For who will be convinced that there hasn't been negotiations of one sort or another? Le Figaro speaks bluntly of "blackmail", though it concludes it was difficult for the government to do much else.

Could it? The fact is negotiations were already taking place when Laurent Fabius was Prime Minister, so much so that the hostages took a large part of the time

of the top civil servants working at the Quai d'Orsay. The main difference compared with the situation that existed before the March 161 general election is that the emphasis has been deliberately put on normalising relations with Tehran, and the first step towards this was taken with the expulsion from France of the Iranian brigades Masoud Rajavi and his People's Mujahidin. The question is how far the ayatollahs want to go, and whether what is at stake, when all's said and done, is France's support for Iraq in the war against Iran. Jacques Chirac, who once played a central part in engineering the rapprochement with Bag-

CLASSIFIED CARS

IF YOU ARE HIRING A CAR MAKE IT E.C.R. "WE'RE CHEAPER BY FAR"

Cars from £80 p.w. including unlimited mileage, AA/RAC membership, radio, in all models, free delivery, Heathrow, Gatwick, Luton and Central London. All vehicles current Ford models including Automatic and Estates.

For quotation write to: Economic Car Rentals Limited, P.O. Box 8, Salehurst, Surrey, RH3 7QZ. Telephone: 01-442 2290. Telex: 917116

FLYING TO GATWICK OR HEATHROW? LOW COST CAR HIRE FROM

£60 PER WEEK Unlimited mileage, VAT, Insurance.

AA cover included. Please write for brochure WORTH SELF DRIVE 148 Priestley Way, Crawley, Sussex RH10 2NT, England Tel: (0293) 29027

U.K. CAR HIRE from £49 per week + v.a.t.

subject to availability
★ Unlimited mileage ★
★ AA membership ★
★ Free pick-up/hire ★
Brochure and quote by return airmail

ROSS 1111 car rental U.K. Self Drive

5 Ockendon Lane, New Malden, KT3 5RZ, England. Tel: 01-442 7755. Telex: 27850. Ref: 605

SELF-DRIVE CAR HIRE IN THE U.K.

WOODS Self-drive car hire is a long established family company. Our fleet is friendly, well equipped and our staff are experienced and professional. We offer a wide range of vehicles to suit all budgets and needs. Our fleet includes: Ford Fiesta, Ford Escort, Ford Granada, Ford Mondeo, Ford Sierra, Ford Transit, Ford Vauxhall Cavalier, Vauxhall Vectra, Vauxhall Astra, Vauxhall Corsa, Vauxhall Zafira, Vauxhall Insignia, Vauxhall Astra G, Vauxhall Astra H, Vauxhall Astra J, Vauxhall Astra K, Vauxhall Astra L, Vauxhall Astra M, Vauxhall Astra N, Vauxhall Astra O, Vauxhall Astra P, Vauxhall Astra Q, Vauxhall Astra R, Vauxhall Astra S, Vauxhall Astra T, Vauxhall Astra U, Vauxhall Astra V, Vauxhall Astra W, Vauxhall Astra X, Vauxhall Astra Y, Vauxhall Astra Z, Vauxhall Astra AA, Vauxhall Astra AB, Vauxhall Astra AC, Vauxhall Astra AD, Vauxhall Astra AE, Vauxhall Astra AF, Vauxhall Astra AG, Vauxhall Astra AH, Vauxhall Astra AI, Vauxhall Astra AJ, Vauxhall Astra AK, Vauxhall Astra AL, Vauxhall Astra AM, Vauxhall Astra AN, Vauxhall Astra AO, Vauxhall Astra AP, Vauxhall Astra AQ, Vauxhall Astra AR, Vauxhall Astra AS, Vauxhall Astra AT, Vauxhall Astra AU, Vauxhall Astra AV, Vauxhall Astra AW, Vauxhall Astra AX, Vauxhall Astra AY, Vauxhall Astra AZ, Vauxhall Astra BA, Vauxhall Astra BB, Vauxhall Astra BC, Vauxhall Astra BD, Vauxhall Astra BE, Vauxhall Astra BF, Vauxhall Astra BG, Vauxhall Astra BH, Vauxhall Astra BI, Vauxhall Astra BJ, Vauxhall Astra BK, Vauxhall Astra BL, Vauxhall Astra BM, Vauxhall Astra BN, Vauxhall Astra BO, Vauxhall Astra BP, Vauxhall Astra BQ, Vauxhall Astra BR, Vauxhall Astra BS, Vauxhall Astra BT, Vauxhall Astra BU, Vauxhall Astra BV, Vauxhall Astra BW, Vauxhall Astra BX, Vauxhall Astra BY, Vauxhall Astra BZ, Vauxhall Astra CA, Vauxhall Astra CB, Vauxhall Astra CC, Vauxhall Astra CD, Vauxhall Astra CE, Vauxhall Astra CF, Vauxhall Astra CG, Vauxhall Astra CH, Vauxhall Astra CI, Vauxhall Astra CJ, Vauxhall Astra CK, Vauxhall Astra CL, Vauxhall Astra CM, Vauxhall Astra CN, Vauxhall Astra CO, Vauxhall Astra CP, Vauxhall Astra CQ, Vauxhall Astra CR, Vauxhall Astra CS, Vauxhall Astra CT, Vauxhall Astra CU, Vauxhall Astra CV, Vauxhall Astra CW, Vauxhall Astra CX, Vauxhall Astra CY, Vauxhall Astra CZ, Vauxhall Astra DA, Vauxhall Astra DB, Vauxhall Astra DC, Vauxhall Astra DD, Vauxhall Astra DE, Vauxhall Astra DF, Vauxhall Astra DG, Vauxhall Astra DH, Vauxhall Astra DI, Vauxhall Astra DJ, Vauxhall Astra DK, Vauxhall Astra DL, Vauxhall Astra DM, Vauxhall Astra DN, Vauxhall Astra DO, Vauxhall Astra DP, Vauxhall Astra DQ, Vauxhall Astra DR, Vauxhall Astra DS, Vauxhall Astra DT, Vauxhall Astra DU, Vauxhall Astra DV, Vauxhall Astra DW, Vauxhall Astra DX, Vauxhall Astra DY, Vauxhall Astra DZ, Vauxhall Astra EA, Vauxhall Astra EB, Vauxhall Astra EC, Vauxhall Astra ED, Vauxhall Astra EE, Vauxhall Astra EF, Vauxhall Astra EG, Vauxhall Astra EH, Vauxhall Astra EI, Vauxhall Astra EJ, Vauxhall Astra EK, Vauxhall Astra EL, Vauxhall Astra EM, Vauxhall Astra EN, Vauxhall Astra EO, Vauxhall Astra EP, Vauxhall Astra EQ, Vauxhall Astra ER, Vauxhall Astra ES, Vauxhall Astra ET, Vauxhall Astra EU, Vauxhall Astra EV, Vauxhall Astra EW, Vauxhall Astra EX, Vauxhall Astra EY, Vauxhall Astra EZ, Vauxhall Astra FA, Vauxhall Astra FB, Vauxhall Astra FC, Vauxhall Astra FD, Vauxhall Astra FE, Vauxhall Astra FF, Vauxhall Astra FG, Vauxhall Astra FH, Vauxhall Astra FI, Vauxhall Astra FJ, Vauxhall Astra FK, Vauxhall Astra FL, Vauxhall Astra FM, Vauxhall Astra FN, Vauxhall Astra FO, Vauxhall Astra FP, Vauxhall Astra FQ, Vauxhall Astra FR, Vauxhall Astra FS, Vauxhall Astra FT, Vauxhall Astra FU, Vauxhall Astra FV, Vauxhall Astra FW, Vauxhall Astra FX, Vauxhall Astra FY, Vauxhall Astra FZ, Vauxhall Astra GA, Vauxhall Astra GB, Vauxhall Astra GC, Vauxhall Astra GD, Vauxhall Astra GE, Vauxhall Astra GF, Vauxhall Astra GH, Vauxhall Astra GI, Vauxhall Astra GJ, Vauxhall Astra GK, Vauxhall Astra GL, Vauxhall Astra GM, Vauxhall Astra GN, Vauxhall Astra GO, Vauxhall Astra GP, Vauxhall Astra GQ, Vauxhall Astra GR, Vauxhall Astra GS, Vauxhall Astra GT, Vauxhall Astra GU, Vauxhall Astra GV, Vauxhall Astra GW, Vauxhall Astra GX, Vauxhall Astra GY, Vauxhall Astra GZ, Vauxhall Astra HA, Vauxhall Astra HB, Vauxhall Astra HC, Vauxhall Astra HD, Vauxhall Astra HE, Vauxhall Astra HF, Vauxhall Astra HG, Vauxhall Astra HH, Vauxhall Astra HI, Vauxhall Astra HJ, Vauxhall Astra HK, Vauxhall Astra HL, Vauxhall Astra HM, Vauxhall Astra HN, Vauxhall Astra HO, Vauxhall Astra HP, Vauxhall Astra HQ, Vauxhall Astra HR, Vauxhall Astra HS, Vauxhall Astra HT, Vauxhall Astra HU, Vauxhall Astra HV, Vauxhall Astra HW, Vauxhall Astra HX, Vauxhall Astra HY, Vauxhall Astra HZ, Vauxhall Astra IA, Vauxhall Astra IB, Vauxhall Astra IC, Vauxhall Astra ID, Vauxhall Astra IE, Vauxhall Astra IF, Vauxhall Astra IG, Vauxhall Astra IH, Vauxhall Astra II, Vauxhall Astra IJ, Vauxhall Astra IK, Vauxhall Astra IL, Vauxhall Astra IM, Vauxhall Astra IN, Vauxhall Astra IO, Vauxhall Astra IP, Vauxhall Astra IQ, Vauxhall Astra IR, Vauxhall Astra IS, Vauxhall Astra IT, Vauxhall Astra IU, Vauxhall Astra IV, Vauxhall Astra IW, Vauxhall Astra IX, Vauxhall Astra IY, Vauxhall Astra IZ, Vauxhall Astra JA, Vauxhall Astra JB, Vauxhall Astra JC, Vauxhall Astra JD, Vauxhall Astra JE, Vauxhall Astra JF, Vauxhall Astra JG, Vauxhall Astra JH, Vauxhall Astra JI, Vauxhall Astra JJ, Vauxhall Astra JK, Vauxhall Astra JL, Vauxhall Astra JM, Vauxhall Astra JN, Vauxhall Astra JO, Vauxhall Astra JP, Vauxhall Astra JQ, Vauxhall Astra JR, Vauxhall Astra JS, Vauxhall Astra JT, Vauxhall Astra JU, Vauxhall Astra JV, Vauxhall Astra JW, Vauxhall Astra JX, Vauxhall Astra JY, Vauxhall Astra JZ, Vauxhall Astra KA, Vauxhall Astra KB, Vauxhall Astra KC, Vauxhall Astra KD, Vauxhall Astra KE, Vauxhall Astra KF, Vauxhall Astra KG, Vauxhall Astra KH, Vauxhall Astra KI, Vauxhall Astra KJ, Vauxhall Astra KL, Vauxhall Astra KM, Vauxhall Astra KN, Vauxhall Astra KO, Vauxhall Astra KP, Vauxhall Astra KQ, Vauxhall Astra KR, Vauxhall Astra KS, Vauxhall Astra KT, Vauxhall Astra KU, Vauxhall Astra KV, Vauxhall Astra KW, Vauxhall Astra KX, Vauxhall Astra KY, Vauxhall Astra KZ, Vauxhall Astra LA, Vauxhall Astra LB, Vauxhall Astra LC, Vauxhall Astra LD, Vauxhall Astra LE, Vauxhall Astra LF, Vauxhall Astra LG, Vauxhall Astra LH, Vauxhall Astra LI, Vauxhall Astra LJ, Vauxhall Astra LK, Vauxhall Astra LL, Vauxhall Astra LM, Vauxhall Astra LN, Vauxhall Astra LO, Vauxhall Astra LP, Vauxhall Astra LQ, Vauxhall Astra LR, Vauxhall Astra LS, Vauxhall Astra LT, Vauxhall Astra LU, Vauxhall Astra LV, Vauxhall Astra LW, Vauxhall Astra LX, Vauxhall Astra LY, Vauxhall Astra LZ, Vauxhall Astra MA, Vauxhall Astra MB, Vauxhall Astra MC, Vauxhall Astra MD, Vauxhall Astra ME, Vauxhall Astra MF, Vauxhall Astra MG, Vauxhall Astra MH, Vauxhall Astra MI, Vauxhall Astra MJ, Vauxhall Astra MK, Vauxhall Astra ML, Vauxhall Astra MM, Vauxhall Astra MN, Vauxhall Astra MO, Vauxhall Astra MP, Vauxhall Astra MQ, Vauxhall Astra MR, Vauxhall Astra MS, Vauxhall Astra MT, Vauxhall Astra MU, Vauxhall Astra MV, Vauxhall Astra MW, Vauxhall Astra MX, Vauxhall Astra MY, Vauxhall Astra MZ, Vauxhall Astra NA, Vauxhall Astra NB, Vauxhall Astra NC, Vauxhall Astra ND, Vauxhall Astra NE, Vauxhall Astra NF, Vauxhall Astra NG, Vauxhall Astra NH, Vauxhall Astra NI, Vauxhall Astra NJ, Vauxhall Astra NK, Vauxhall Astra NL, Vauxhall Astra NM, Vauxhall Astra NN, Vauxhall Astra NO, Vauxhall Astra NP, Vauxhall Astra NQ, Vauxhall Astra NR, Vauxhall Astra NS, Vauxhall Astra NT, Vauxhall Astra NU, Vauxhall Astra NV, Vauxhall Astra NW, Vauxhall Astra NX, Vauxhall Astra NY, Vauxhall Astra NZ, Vauxhall Astra OA, Vauxhall Astra OB, Vauxhall Astra OC, Vauxhall Astra OD, Vauxhall Astra OE, Vauxhall Astra OF, Vauxhall Astra OG, Vauxhall Astra OH, Vauxhall Astra OI, Vauxhall Astra OJ, Vauxhall Astra OK, Vauxhall Astra OL, Vauxhall Astra OM, Vauxhall Astra ON, Vauxhall Astra OO, Vauxhall Astra OP, Vauxhall Astra OQ, Vauxhall Astra OR, Vauxhall Astra OS, Vauxhall Astra OT, Vauxhall Astra OU, Vauxhall Astra OV, Vauxhall Astra OW, Vauxhall Astra OX, Vauxhall Astra OY, Vauxhall Astra OZ, Vauxhall Astra PA, Vauxhall Astra PB, Vauxhall Astra PC, Vauxhall Astra PD, Vauxhall Astra PE, Vauxhall Astra PF, Vauxhall Astra PG, Vauxhall Astra PH, Vauxhall Astra PI, Vauxhall Astra PJ, Vauxhall Astra PK, Vauxhall Astra PL, Vauxhall Astra PM, Vauxhall Astra PN, Vauxhall Astra PO, Vauxhall Astra PP, Vauxhall Astra PQ, Vauxhall Astra PR, Vauxhall Astra PS, Vauxhall Astra PT, Vauxhall Astra PU, Vauxhall Astra PV, Vauxhall Astra PW, Vauxhall Astra PX, Vauxhall Astra PY, Vauxhall Astra PZ, Vauxhall Astra QA, Vauxhall Astra QB, Vauxhall Astra QC, Vauxhall Astra QD, Vauxhall Astra QE, Vauxhall Astra QF, Vauxhall Astra QG, Vauxhall Astra QH, Vauxhall Astra QI, Vauxhall Astra QJ, Vauxhall Astra QK, Vauxhall Astra QL, Vauxhall Astra QM, Vauxhall Astra QN, Vauxhall Astra QO, Vauxhall Astra QP, Vauxhall Astra QQ, Vauxhall Astra QR, Vauxhall Astra QS, Vauxhall Astra QT, Vauxhall Astra QU, Vauxhall Astra QV, Vauxhall Astra QW, Vauxhall Astra QX, Vauxhall Astra QY, Vauxhall Astra QZ, Vauxhall Astra RA, Vauxhall Astra RB, Vauxhall Astra RC, Vauxhall Astra RD, Vauxhall Astra RE, Vauxhall Astra RF, Vauxhall Astra RG, Vauxhall Astra RH, Vauxhall Astra RI, Vauxhall Astra RJ, Vauxhall Astra RK, Vauxhall Astra RL, Vauxhall Astra RM, Vauxhall Astra RN, Vauxhall Astra RO, Vauxhall Astra RP, Vauxhall Astra RQ, Vauxhall Astra RR, Vauxhall Astra RS, Vauxhall Astra RT, Vauxhall Astra RU, Vauxhall Astra RV, Vauxhall Astra RW, Vauxhall Astra RX, Vauxhall Astra RY, Vauxhall Astra RZ, Vauxhall Astra SA, Vauxhall Astra SB, Vauxhall Astra SC, Vauxhall Astra SD, Vauxhall Astra SE, Vauxhall Astra SF, Vauxhall Astra SG, Vauxhall Astra SH, Vauxhall Astra SI, Vauxhall Astra SJ, Vauxhall Astra SK, Vauxhall Astra SL, Vauxhall Astra SM, Vauxhall Astra SN, Vauxhall Astra SO, Vauxhall Astra SP, Vauxhall Astra SQ, Vauxhall Astra SR, Vauxhall Astra SS, Vauxhall Astra ST, Vauxhall Astra SU, Vauxhall Astra SV, Vauxhall Astra SW, Vauxhall Astra SX, Vauxhall Astra SY, Vauxhall Astra SZ, Vauxhall Astra TA, Vauxhall Astra TB, Vauxhall Astra TC, Vauxhall Astra TD, Vauxhall Astra TE, Vauxhall Astra TF, Vauxhall Astra TG, Vauxhall Astra TH, Vauxhall Astra TI, Vauxhall Astra TJ, Vauxhall Astra TK, Vauxhall Astra TL, Vauxhall Astra TM, Vauxhall Astra TN, Vauxhall Astra TO, Vauxhall Astra TP, Vauxhall Astra TQ, Vauxhall Astra TR, Vauxhall Astra TS, Vauxhall Astra TT, Vauxhall Astra TU, Vauxhall Astra TV, Vauxhall Astra TW, Vauxhall Astra TX, Vauxhall Astra TY, Vauxhall Astra TZ, Vauxhall Astra UA, Vauxhall Astra UB, Vauxhall Astra UC, Vauxhall Astra UD, Vauxhall Astra UE, Vauxhall Astra UF, Vauxhall Astra UG, Vauxhall Astra UH, Vauxhall Astra UI, Vauxhall Astra UJ, Vauxhall Astra UK, Vauxhall Astra UL, Vauxhall Astra UM, Vauxhall Astra UN, Vauxhall Astra UO, Vauxhall Astra UP, Vauxhall Astra UQ, Vauxhall Astra UR, Vauxhall Astra US, Vauxhall Astra UT, Vauxhall Astra UY, Vauxhall Astra UZ, Vauxhall Astra VA, Vauxhall Astra VB, Vauxhall Astra VC, Vauxhall Astra VD, Vauxhall Astra VE, Vauxhall Astra VF, Vauxhall Astra VG, Vauxhall Astra VH, Vauxhall Astra VI, Vauxhall Astra VJ, Vauxhall Astra VK, Vauxhall Astra VL, Vauxhall Astra VM, Vauxhall Astra VN, Vauxhall Astra VO, Vauxhall Astra VP, Vauxhall Astra VQ, Vauxhall Astra VR, Vauxhall Astra VS, Vauxhall Astra VT, Vauxhall Astra VU, Vauxhall Astra VV, Vauxhall Astra VW, Vauxhall Astra VX, Vauxhall Astra VY, Vauxhall Astra VZ, Vauxhall Astra WA, Vauxhall Astra WB, Vauxhall Astra WC, Vauxhall Astra WD, Vauxhall Astra WE, Vauxhall Astra WF, Vauxhall Astra WG, Vauxhall Astra WH, Vauxhall Astra WI, Vauxhall Astra WJ, Vauxhall Astra WK, Vauxhall Astra WL, Vauxhall Astra WM, Vauxhall Astra WN, Vauxhall Astra WO, Vauxhall Astra WP, Vauxhall Astra WQ, Vauxhall Astra WR, Vauxhall Astra WS, Vauxhall Astra WT, Vauxhall Astra WY, Vauxhall Astra WZ, Vauxhall Astra XA, Vauxhall Astra XB, Vauxhall Astra XC, Vauxhall Astra XD, Vauxhall Astra XE, Vauxhall Astra XF, Vauxhall Astra XG, Vauxhall Astra XH, Vauxhall Astra XI, Vauxhall Astra XJ, Vauxhall Astra XK, Vauxhall Astra XL, Vauxhall Astra XM, Vauxhall Astra XN, Vauxhall Astra XO, Vauxhall Astra XP, Vauxhall Astra XQ, Vauxhall Astra XR, Vauxhall Astra XS, Vauxhall Astra XT, Vauxhall Astra XU, Vauxhall Astra XV, Vauxhall Astra XW, Vauxhall Astra XX, Vauxhall Astra XY, Vauxhall Astra XZ, Vauxhall Astra YA, Vauxhall Astra YB, Vauxhall Astra YC, Vauxhall Astra YD, Vauxhall Astra YE, Vauxhall Astra YF, Vauxhall Astra YG, Vauxhall Astra YH, Vauxhall Astra YI, Vauxhall Astra YJ, Vauxhall Astra YK, Vauxhall Astra YL, Vauxhall Astra YM, Vauxhall Astra YN, Vauxhall Astra YO, Vauxhall Astra YP, Vauxhall Astra YQ, Vauxhall Astra YR, Vauxhall Astra YS, Vauxhall Astra YT, Vauxhall Astra YU, Vauxhall Astra YV, Vauxhall Astra YW, Vauxhall Astra YX, Vauxhall Astra YY, Vauxhall Astra YZ, Vauxhall Astra ZA, Vauxhall Astra ZB, Vauxhall Astra ZC, Vauxhall Astra ZD, Vauxhall Astra ZE, Vauxhall Astra ZF, Vauxhall Astra ZG, Vauxhall Astra ZH, Vauxhall Astra ZI, Vauxhall Astra ZJ, Vauxhall Astra ZK, Vauxhall Astra ZL, Vauxhall Astra ZM, Vauxhall Astra ZN, Vauxhall Astra ZO, Vauxhall Astra ZP, Vauxhall Astra ZQ, Vauxhall Astra ZR, Vauxhall Astra ZS, Vauxhall Astra ZT, Vauxhall Astra ZU, Vauxhall Astra ZV, Vauxhall Astra ZW, Vauxhall Astra ZX, Vauxhall Astra ZY, Vauxhall Astra ZZ

ACCESS CAR HIRE U.K. Phone: 0734-410551

12-14 School Road, Tisbury, Wiltshire RG59 5AL				Tel: 01249 848732			
Step up your light on a Acces Car. It's bookings simply phone in the car you want, the date and cash. Then turn up at the Auction to complete the deal.							
Send for more info							
Group	Model	In Sept	11 Dec	Group	Model	In Sept	11 Dec
A	Ford Fiesta 057	£60	F	Ford Sierra 1.6L Euro	£95		
A	Ford Fiesta 1.1L	£65	G	Ford Sierra 1.6L Euro	£95		
A	Ford Fiesta 1.1L	£65	F	Ford Sierra 2.0L	£99		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L	£70	H	Ford Granada	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L	£70	H	Ford Granada 2.0L	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121		
F	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate	£121	</	

"AND how is Max Frisch?" When I went to interview Friedrich Dürrenmatt at his home in Natchez, I could not resist asking after his great friend and rival, "the other" great Swiss writer. The fact that people tend to mix them up, and that outside Switzerland they are often thought to be German (because they write in German), is a source of great amusement to both.

Dürrenmatt and Frisch are, more than anything else, international writers: Dürrenmatt's latest novel, "Justiz", which has just come out in French, has already been translated into 20 languages since it first appeared in German last year, and "Der Auftrag" ("The Mission"), soon to be published by Diogenes Verlag in Zurich, will no doubt be similarly treated.

Frisch and Dürrenmatt's works are now classics. Both writers, of course, are potential Nobel prizewinners. But the fact that they are both Swiss has probably tied the hands of the Nobel jury, which must be anxious not to offend either man.

In France they are better known as playwrights than as novelists. But that does not stop Frisch and Dürrenmatt being confused in the public mind. Every schoolboy of course knows that "The Visit" is by Dürrenmatt and "The Fire Raisers" by Frisch. But what about

Dürrenmatt's moral tale

"The Marriage of Mr. Mississippi"? Try asking your friends which writer wrote it — and what distinguishes one from the other. Those with any pretensions to literary culture will reply that Dürrenmatt is an exponent of "the absurd" and Frisch a "Brechtian".

That cliché took a knock when Dürrenmatt's "Stoffler" appeared in French last year. It revealed a writer who handles his own imaginary material with great care and achieves a totally new interweaving of reflective autobiography and narrative creation — a Franz Kafka casting a philosophical eye on his own writing.

French television viewers got a chance to see Dürrenmatt himself last year when he appeared on the highly popular book programme, "Apostrophes": a massive Oron Welles-like figure, he set there observing with sardonic amusement, and at times uttering the verbal sparring match being conducted on the same set by those two literary enemies, Philippe Sollers and Alain Robbe-Grillet. Dürrenmatt stole the show with meaningful silence.

By appearing on "Apostrophes" authors can boost sales by 20,000

copies. Dürrenmatt no doubt does not need help of that kind, since the success of "Justiz" will probably be guaranteed by word of mouth. In "Justiz" — the virulence of Dürrenmatt's comic affects, his use of the grotesque, bring to mind only one other writer, Kafka.

"Justiz", as you might expect, concerns a murder. Readers of Dürrenmatt are familiar with his philosophical reworkings of the

By Michel Contat

detective story genre. In novels like "The Judge and His Hangman", "The Suspicion" and "The Pledge" he used the framework of a police inquiry as a stalking horse with which to tackle major themes — or rather, to simplify matters, one major theme: evil and life's absurdity, both of which, Dürrenmatt feels, we should not resign ourselves to.

"The Breakdown", his best-known novel in France, which parodies a trial conducted by some mischievous retired magistrates, subjected the very fabric of the Protestant conscience — guilt — to extremely robust treatment.

All the novels I have mentioned, which date from the '50s, have a rather grim existentialist colouring; the grotesque was already beginning to show its face, but it was still accompanied by angst. In "The Breakdown" the farce turns sour: the accused man, as a joke, ends up by really hanging himself.

In "Justiz", Dürrenmatt reveals immediately who the culprit is: he describes how a politician kills an academic in a crowded restaurant. The second section consists of the report by the lawyer who has been asked by the guilty man, now in prison, to investigate one possible hypothesis: suppose he were not guilty?

After obtaining his acquittal on appeal, through a kind of philosophical swindle, the lawyer prepares to take justice into his own hands by killing the politician and then committing suicide.

"The work of a dilettante," the author remarks ironically before going on to claim, in the book's third section, that he is only the publisher of the material which makes up the first two parts, and which was passed on to him by the Zurich police chief.

It would be unfair on the reader

to give away Dürrenmatt's ending. Constructed in a series of extensions like a huge telescope pointing at the great secret of justice, it is clearly a parable. But a parable of what?

Of power? Of human intelligence, which strives to measure up to God by carrying out experiments, by playing with people as though they were billiard balls? Should Isaac Kohler, the murderer who is at once member of parliament, doctor honoris causa, and holder of the power of attorney for a large consortium, be regarded as a theological figure, as some God who assassinates divinely?

As soon as I made my quip about Max Frisch, I realised it would fall flat. "I don't know how he is," the 65-year-old Dürrenmatt replied. "I haven't had any news of him. He didn't send me his latest book, 'Bluebeard'. I wouldn't have read it in any case. I don't read fiction. I write it — which is quite enough."

On his huge desk, next to a lexicon of philosophical terms and the book he is currently working on (neatly arranged in folders), sat Alexander Solzhenitsyn's "November 16", a thick volume which he had already started reading. "What a bore! And he gives such a stereotyped image of Switzerland and Zurich when the revolutionaries were here. All fat novels are unreadable."

Aragon's immolated manuscript rises from the flames of surrealism

LOUIS ARAGON'S "La Défense de l'infini" has occupied in contemporary literature the kind of place the Loch Ness monster occupies in tourist lore: people have been talking in great detail about it without ever having seen it. And for good reason, too. One chilly evening in November 1927 at the La Puerta del Sol Hotel in Madrid, Aragon destroyed the "1,500 pages or more" on which he had scribbled his novel as his friend Nancy Cunard looked on. An event he recalled in his 1928 poem, "Le Chant de la Puerta del Sol".

Alors, j'ai déchiré quatre onnées de ma vie
Dans mes tremlantes mains de
mes doigts noués durs
Quatre ans les feuilles de quatre
ons ramolues

Pour le feu projeté les hommes
tout à l'heure.

(So I tore up four years of my life,
four years, the writings of four
years gathered up in my trembling
hands with their fingers
knotted hard destined for the
fire, the flames in a moment.)

Today, thanks to Edward Ruiz's patient labours and Aragon's own subsequent reservations, long fragments (about 200 pages) of "La Défense de l'infini" have at last been published, and we are now in a slightly better position to assess the significance of the work that the surrealist group's dogmatism strangled at birth — probably the most wide-ranging and ambitious novelistic oeuvre of the '30s.

In his "Je n'ai jamais appris à écrire ou les incipits" ("I never learned to write, or the incipits (beginnings)", Aragon described the structure of his work: "It was a novel where you entered by as many doors as there were characters. I didn't know anything at all about the background of any of the characters; each being determined on the basis of one of those constellations of words I mentioned, by its oddity, its improbability, I mean by the improbable manner of its development."

"This whole slew of characters were to end up, each by the logic or illogicality of his destiny, in a sort of monotonous whorlhouse where criticism or confusion would work among them, I mean the defeat of all moral values in a sort of vast

Two hundred pages of Louis Aragon's manuscript, "La Défense de l'infini", which escaped destruction at the author's hands have now been published.

When he began writing "La Défense" — probably in 1923 — Aragon knew he would be bringing down upon himself the wrath and violence, at least verbal violence, of his surrealist comrades, especially his friend André Breton. The surrealists had uncompromisingly and irrevocably condemned the fictional form. And the novel was the very expression of this bourgeois, institutional and comfortable literature which the surrealists were out to blow up. It was literature as opposed to the life that they were determined to change through revolutionary deeds, not words.

Aragon was far too involved with this group, far too loyal to Breton — "I came to know a man who wasn't like the others..." — to express the least dissidence on this point. Even if he said nothing, or rather if he voiced the same intransigence as his friends and just as extravagantly, Aragon was nonetheless tormented. He condemned literature, yet felt himself to be a writer.

The group kept watch, but Aragon cheated, perhaps unconsciously. Will we ever know when he lied and when he began lying to himself? In 1928, he published "Le Paysan de Paris". And it was indeed a kind of novel, and what's more, a love story, something that was doubly anathema to the surrealists. However, Aragon evaded his tale, his account, "his frenzy in such a fit of provocation, outburst, wildly exaggerated professions of allegiance to André Breton, and the doctrine, insults directed against literary men, newspapers and critics that the surrealists, expelled by this dazzling display of verbal pyrotechnics and completely taken in by the most gifted member of their group, let him get away with it.

He took a completely different tack with "La Défense de l'infini". "I don't think people could understand the first thing about me if no dates are put on my thinking and writing," he wrote. To explain the process that led to the destruction of a major work, we need to look closely at the dates. In 1925 (he was then 28) Aragon got to know

"the radiant brown girl", the "Buttes-Chaumont lady", but we are unlikely to know whether this was his first encounter with love. Among the surrealists, who deliberately cultivated a misogynist stance — and in their daily lives rated liaisons with women solely for their material values, the whorehouse was central to an "amorous" relationship stripped of every hypocrisy. Aragon's meeting with the Buttes-Chaumont lady, and later with the rich, free and dominating Nancy Cunard, caused a kind of break between the poet and the group, a split that

By Pierre Lepape

paralleled the literary split. She was the Stranger, this upper middle-class American woman who was leading Aragon by the nose from city to city, from fashionable nightclubs to luxury hotels.

Then there was the Communist Party. In 1927, a few months after Eluard, Aragon signed up. It was also a few months before Breton, too. But the Communist Party balked at these new memberships. These young intellectual firebrands scared it somewhat, and especially the foppish Aragon, whose social background was hazy, who lived with an American multimillionaire's grand daughter and lugged around in his baggage a collection of 2,000 neckties and extravagantly expensive suits.

Aragon had to give pledges to both sides as they would accept him. All his life he felt the need to be accepted, to be part of a group, a life, to be seen by others. With the Communists, this foreplay lasted five years. Aragon was finally accepted among them only in 1932 after he broke with Breton's group. The Communist Party's attitude towards the surrealists was both more dastardly and violent. Aragon clung to the group as if it were his family, his country. When he did not turn up for the ritual daily aperitif with Breton, it was as if he had slept out. When he went on trips to Britain, Spain or elsewhere with Nancy Cunard, it was as if he were deserting. When he wrote "La Défense de l'infini", he

was betraying the group. Love and literature continued to exert a strong pull on him, but he was still hoping to avoid the break, to overcome the contradiction.

He secretly let a fragment of his work in progress go for a limited edition — Nancy obligingly came up with the money. It was the "Le Con d'Irène" whose paterfamilias Aragon persisted in denying to the very end. It is much more than a piece of great erotic writing: it is a lyric ode against "bitchiness". He next allowed another fragment of his work to be published and dedicated it to Breton. It was "Entrée des succubes", a breathtaking demolition of Freudian methods. He kept publishing more occasional fragments until one day he tried to get his surrealist friends to accept a more substantial extract from his work, "Le Cahier noir".

This time, he had gone too far. The reason he was not thrown out of the surrealist circle immediately was that Breton still protected him. But Aragon had to make

Afghanistan

Continued from page 11

was not ready for such a graft and thus compromising the future of communism in Afghanistan. Karmal had now become an embarrassment. Two months after the congress, he was replaced by General Najib, the head of the Afghan secret service.

The situation has reversed. Karmal, rightly considered to be the Soviets' man, is today seen almost as an opposition figure and has regained a degree of popularity in Kabul. A younger, less educated man, but one who is also more flexible than Karmal was in 1979, Najib will carry out the policies that the Soviets dictate. Gorbachev has yet to show his hand, but he is giving tiny clues singly. The ouster of Karmal, too closely identified with the intervention itself, is one. The withdrawal in October of 8,000 Soviet troops from Afghanistan is another. Najib's appeal for setting up a government of "national union",

possibly including opponents who agree to lay down their arms, is a third clue.

Gorbachev appears to be looking for a "political" solution in Afghanistan. And for this, anything goes, including — and why not? — the return of the former king, if he agrees to a figurehead role, or simply wants to live out the last years of his life in his own country. But the Soviet army, which has built permanent barracks in the northern part of the country, will withdraw only when the regular Afghan army is in a position to replace it. This is not the case today, and is unlikely to be so in the foreseeable future.

(November 18)

Le Monde
Directeur: André Fontaine
World copyright by
© Le Monde, Paris
All rights strictly reserved

The Washington Post

Oil And Iran's Price

OPEC's recent behavior is becoming less mysterious. The explanations are beginning to fall into place. It will be interesting to learn at what point Saudi Arabia realized that the United States was sending arms to Iran. But even without much light there, it's possible to start putting together — at least tentatively — an account of what's been happening in OPEC.

To measure the purely economic cost of President Reagan's turnaround on Iran, keep your eye on the price of oil. The basic split in OPEC for the past 15 years has been between the radicals who want the highest possible price and the conservatives who want a lower price and stable markets. Iran and Libya have consistently been the leaders among the radicals. Saudi Arabia speaks for the conservatives. That tension has been sharpened by the Iran-Iraq war, for the Saudis have been providing indispensable financial support to Iraq.

Late in the summer of 1985, when prices were high, the Saudis exports were falling to levels that they considered intolerably low. They roared their strategy, pushing up production and letting prices fall — as they did, very rapidly, last winter and spring. It was good luck for the industrial countries, where inflation rates dropped reassuringly. But it was disastrous for many oil producers from Texas to Tehran.

Texas couldn't do anything about it, but Tehran did. In the war with Iraq, the Iranians achieved unexpected success early this year. Under the ayatollahs, Iran seemed to be reasserting its former position as the region's dominant military power. It would the United States react? In any case, it now develops, the president sent his former national security adviser to Tehran in a plane loaded with military equipment. In July there was further shipment.

At OPEC's meeting in August, with the price of oil below \$10 a barrel, the Saudis began moving to compromise with Iran and cut production. That's why the price has now risen several dollars. At the end of OPEC's long and quarrelsome meeting last month, the Saudi king fired his oil minister, Sheikh Ahmed Zaki Yamani, a special target of the Iranian radicals. Kuwait, which was pressing hard for a higher production quota in October, now says that it too is in favor of higher prices.

Even before the American overtures to Iran became public knowledge, the Saudis and most of their neighbors were moving to propitiate Iran. Mr. Reagan's speech last week will be read in the Persian Gulf as an authoritative declaration that the United States wants an accommodation with Iran. Until last summer, Saudi Arabia was the dominant force in OPEC, but that seems to be changing. OPEC meets again next month, and now it's the conservatives who are talking about production cuts to push oil prices back into the range of \$18 to \$20 a barrel-half again as high as the present price. Mr. Reagan's overtures to Iran are not going to be inexpensive.

They No Longer Believe Him

By David Hoffman



Admiral Poindexter

WASHINGTON — As President Reagan was returning here from Los Angeles Nov. 4 on Air Force One, his national security adviser, Vice Adm. John M. Poindexter, wrote a statement in longhand responding to questions about a Lebanese magazine report that the United States had sent weapons to Iran.

"As long as Iran advocates the use of terrorism, the U.S. arms embargo will continue," Poindexter wrote. "Moreover, the U.S. position on the Iran-Iraq war remains that the fighting should stop and the two sides should reach a negotiated settlement of their dispute. We favor an outcome where there are no winners or losers."

Presidential spokesman Larry Speakes then read the statement to reporters on the plane, adding that there had been "no manifestation of a definitive change in Iran's policy on terrorism."

Poindexter's carefully worded statement was literally correct, but it was less than the full story. When he wrote it, Poindexter knew that Reagan had secretly authorized the shipment of weapons to Iran as part of a yearlong covert operation aimed in part at freeing American hostages in Lebanon.

The disclosure of the weapons deliveries at a time when the United States was publicly seeking to stem the flow of arms to Iran marked the latest in a series of embarrassing foreign policy episodes in which the president's credibility has been severely tested. Moreover, when challenged, the White House has frequently responded by refusing to tell the full story of Reagan's actions.

In the last six months, Reagan

has repeatedly been forced to explain why he deviated from his stated policies, why his public statements were at odds with his private actions, why he appears to have undercut his Cabinet members and why key leaders in Congress, in the military and among U.S. allies were not consulted.

The result could be months of confrontation ahead with Congress, according to senior presidential advisers and influential Republicans on Capitol Hill. Democrats, who will take control of the Senate in January, are certain to conduct investigations in the next few months on Iran, as well as Reagan's arms control policies and the conduct of U.S. officials in supporting the Nicaraguan rebels.

The events that have raised questions about Reagan's credibility began with contradictory expla-

Hostage-Takers Renew Demands

MOSLEM extremists still holding at least two American hostages in Lebanon called on "the American government" at the weekend to "take a bigger role and wider steps to meet our demands and resolve the hostage question". The Islamic Jihad organization, in a typewritten statement sent to American news agencies in Beirut, said that the Nov. 2 release of hostage David Jacobson "was a result of some moves that would lead, if continued, to a solution of the hostage issue".

The organization warned, bowdler, that "the American government should realize very well that we shall not resolve the issue of the hostages unless our demands are met. We shall not budge a fraction of a finger in this".

They are demanding that Kuwait free 17 persons convicted of the 1983 bombing of the U.S. and French embassies in that country. At least one and possibly three of those being held in Kuwait are believed to be relatives of members of the Islamic Jihad group holding Terry A. Anderson, the 39-year-old chief Middle East correspondent for The Associated Press, and Thomas Sutherland, 65, the acting dean of agriculture at the American University of Beirut.

The Kuwaitis have vowed never to release their prisoners and the Reagan administration has said that it will not bring pressure on Kuwait to do so. It was not clear from the statement issued Saturday if the "wider steps" called for

allude only to the Kuwaiti prisoners. They could also include the clandestine arms pipeline started by Resgao, the longstanding Iranian demands that the United States ship the arms paid for by Iran prior to the 1979 revolution and kept in the U.S. and the Iranian request to unfreeze nearly \$500 million in Iranian funds.

The statement was the first by the organization since President Reagan confirmed that he had secretly authorized shipments

By Washington Post Reporters

of military equipment to Iran. Reagan said the shipments were meant as a sign of "good faith" in 15-month-old effort to improve relations with Tehran and to get the Iranians to use their influence in the hostage release.

The National Security Adviser, Adm. John Poindexter, last week struck a note of cautious optimism that the Reagan initiative might still prove successful. "It may very well be... the revelation of the project may expedite the whole process a little bit and there are signs that that may happen."

Poindexter also talked about good faith by unidentified Iranians in the aftermath of the June 1985 TWA hijacking, the refusal of Tehran to provide landing rights for the Pan Am jet hijacked in Karachi, Pakistan, in September, and to "emphatically evidence" that Iran had stopped "being involved or encouraging anybody in taking hostages" for about a year.

White House chief of staff Donald T. Regan took a more pessimistic view, saying he did not think "this avenue" could be pursued again "for quite some time to come". In his address President Reagan said that the United States had not paid "ransom" to Iran for the American hostages in Lebanon, but has covertly sent arms to Tehran to gain "access and influence" there, and the 6-year-old Iran-Iraq war, and stem international terrorism. In a nationally televised address from the Oval Office, Reagan said: "We did not —

repeat, did not — trade weapons or anything else for hostages — nor will we. Those who think we have 'gone soft' on terrorism should take up the question with Colonel Gadhafi."

Claiming that the Iran operation was begun 18 months ago "for the best of reasons," Reagan acknowledged that U.S. officials had talked with unidentified factions in Iran about pressuring other groups in Lebanon to release the American hostages. But he denied that the arms sent to Iran were a "ransom payment".

Reagan discussed only the broadest details of the Iran operation. He did not mention strong objections that were raised to the shipments of arms by Secretary of State George Shultz and Secretary of Defense Caspar W. Weinberger. He also omitted mention of Israel's role in establishing contacts inside Iran and shipping the U.S. weapons, and he sidestepped the question of whether the timing of the arms shipments was linked to release of the hostages, as other officials have reported.

Instead, Reagan said that the arms shipped were "small amounts" and "modest deliveries" that "could easily fit into a single cargo plane." He said the weapons were "defensive" in nature.

In Tunis, the Arab League called Reagan's statement a "new and dangerous" element in relations between the Arab world and the United States. Secretary General Cheddi Jagan said the arms deliveries to Tehran were a "flagrant violation" of Washington's professed neutrality. "Reagan has declared an umbrella of protection for the Gulf and at the same time he's giving arms to the Iranian army threatening this area," said Mahmoud Riad, former Egyptian foreign minister.

Prince Bandar bin Sultan, the Saudi ambassador to Washington, complained to Admiral Poindexter, the president's national security adviser about the "lack of candor" in the U.S.-Saudi relationship. Poindexter replied "Trust us, trust us." Bandar: "You've already proven that we can't trust you."

Reagan Gagged CIA's Casey

By Bob Woodward

WASHINGTON — President Reagan in mid-January ordered CIA Director William J. Casey to writing not to inform the congressional intelligence committees of a covert action involving the shipment of arms to Iran and the release of American hostages in Lebanon, informed sources said last week. Senior administration officials said the president has full legal authority to begin sensitive covert operations without giving prior notice to Congress, although several key Republican and Democratic members of Congress sharply disagreed.

After the 1984 controversy over the CIA's mining of Nicaraguan harbors, Casey pledged in writing to inform the Senate and House intelligence committees within 48 hours of any intelligence activities or covert actions approved by the president, but "Casey's hands are clean on this one," one source said. Since the revelations of intelligence abuses in the mid-1970s, Congress has virtually guaranteed the public that there will be thorough oversight of intelligence operations.

The president's national security adviser, Vice Admiral John Poindexter, defended the decision to keep the covert operation from Congress because of the extreme sensitivity of contacts with Iran and the potential danger to American hostages. In a luncheon meeting with reporters and editors at The Washington Post, Poindexter said he kept the one-page presidential intelligence order, called a "finding," in his White House office safe, and that its existence and contents were known only to a handful of administration officials.

The Senate Intelligence Committee was informed last week of the presidential finding, but two senators on the committee said the 10-month delay was totally unacceptable and subverted the spirit of congressional oversight of intelligence operations. "How many more 'findings' of secret operations are there in Poindexter's safe?" asked one Republican senator.

Continued on page 16

Red light for danger

EVEN in these days of glasnost (openness) in the Soviet press, with the once-forbidden topics of air crashes and ship collisions being reported alongside the corruption trials, the decision to air certain topics still comes as a shock.

Komsomolskaya Pravda is the official newspaper of the Young Communist League, and its long story headline, "A lady for a tip raised many an eyebrow. Set in the Byelorussian capital of Minsk, it recounted the stories of Svatlana, who sold her favours to the foreigners in the local tourist hotel, and of Nina who ran a small brothel in a nearby apartment."

The main thrust of the article was to complain that in the absence of any Soviet law against

where they can bribe the meagre into turning a blind eye to their abuses.

The second is the law against dealing in foreign currency. The girls Komsomolskaya Pravda is worried about are those who go with foreigners, and get their pay in dollars, finmarks and pounds. Prostitution among Russians, which is widespread and visible in the big naval cities like Murmansk and Odessa, and around the hostels where Moscow's migrant workers live, has yet to emerge to the press as a social problem.

The odd thing about the article was why it chose to focus on Minsk, which is not on the main route for western tourists or businessmen. Moscow provides far more glaring examples.

By Martin Welker in Moscow

prostitution, there was little social pressure that could be brought.

"Evidently there is no sense in agonising over the social reasons for this phenomenon," they had written. "It is not poverty that makes these girls chase after their dubious clients. The majority of these 'business women' have a reasonably good education, some are fluent in foreign languages. Each one of them could be working for the good of society."

In the old days, they would try to remain anonymous, and when caught would promise never to do it again. But with the passing of time, this cynicism has gone. They feel quite invulnerable, because they are breaking no laws. They exchange nods with the hotel doorman and greet the policemen in a friendly manner. In the hotel, the bar, and the restaurant, they know everyone and everyone knows them. There is simply no public censure.

When the police bother to intervene, there are two laws they can use against the girls. The first is the law against being a social parasite, or against being unemployed. So the girls make sure they have a daytime sinecure, whether as a student or in a factory or shop

It can be embarrassing, for example, for Western women to try to go alone into the big hotels for foreigners. Unless they show a hotel residency card or a passport, they are liable to be turned away by the doorman, who will assume they are amateur whores.

The professionals are recognised and admitted, although I have on occasion seen the purple flash as a 26 rouble note changes hands. They dress well, in Western clothes brought in by their regulars, or bought in the hard currency stores. Many of them are stunningly attractive. Their hard sell and aggressive approach makes a quiet drink in these bars almost impossible. Prices, I am told, range from \$50 to \$100, and more for twosomes and special services.

The problem is that the price does not include the film and recording rights. Only a fool would ever assume that these hotel liaisons take place without an interested audience, or that this girl does not co-operate with the authorities when pressured to do so. There was the famous case of Commander "Gunboat" Courtney, whose parliamentary career collapsed when photographs of his amorous adven-

ture in a Moscow hotel suddenly appeared in the post of his constituency chairman.

There are other ways of applying pressure. A colleague of mine, a former Newswatch correspondent here, was suddenly informed by the foreign ministry that the Moscow VD clinic had been given his name as a contact by a hotel prostitute, and under Soviet law he had to be given a medical check and treatment.

Proclaiming his innocence to his embassy, he took the first plane to Frankfurt, but himself thoroughly checked there, and sent the papers proving his innocence, or at least his non-infection, back to Moscow.

He then flew on to New York, to explain to his employers why this had to be seen as a nasty attempt to discredit a thriving and aggressive reporter, and to explain to his wife, who was having their first baby. Not as bad as the Denby case, but a reminder that even gonorrhoea can be conscripted into the service of the Soviet state.

A COUNTRY DIARY



Sparrow by Bewick.

WIMBLEDON COMMON: Sparrows are frequently overlooked. I have heard sensible people say, "No, I didn't see anything" upon returning from a walk which was alive with sparrows, but lacked sparrow hawks. At this time of year the sparrows visually reflect autumn in their various carefully marked coats. They have the rich chestnut which glows from the beech leaves. The wing edges of the females hold the fawn of the dying grasses which provide the setting for all our autumnal fore-eyes. This combination of the browns is an echo of the leaves whilst the darkest colours remind us of the black wet tree trunks, not reflecting light, simply oozing darkness.

If you stop at the Windmill Cafe the sparrows put on an Oliver Twist performance of gregarious eating. Wasps are still around driven into rubbish bins and loitering lazily about. Their yellow picks up the luminous floating quality of the lime trees that still retain some leaves. The changing colours are pegged down by stands of glossy holly bushes and Scots and Corsican pine trees. The heather has burnt itself out into a soft brown. Yarrow and Pincappleweed are still showing bedraggled flowers. The birds were quietly keeping together. Flocks of chaffinches and tits flitted in the trees whilst the green woodpecker laughed at the world, his colours blending with the remaining green leaves. The jays were conducting one of their mysterious unsettling convocations. There was none of the spring excitement. Instead a quiet purposeful flight and intent searchings of the ground. Acorns appeared to be their chief booty.

In the garden I have had to saw down a 23 foot silver birch. Six years ago it appeared by one of the ponds. The stump demonstrates how quickly they grow. The tree will raise it as much as I. The swashbuckling greenfinches are happier to swing around the fruit of the Rugosa rubriflora.

Audrey Insh

Downland without plough and people

SOMEONE gave me a splendid old map, dated 1773, of a corner of England featuring my native village, which I call Nadderbourne. My chief surprise was how up-to-date it was. It could serve as a plan of the village today, apart from the fact that we have many more houses. The High Street, The Green, Church Lane, Black Lane, the little back road known as Boye Hedge, the Bottom Way, the Hollow, all are exactly as they are now.

Our village lies on the frontier between forest and downland. The shape and the boundaries of the woodland have altered hardly at all. The names of the various coppices are familiar to me, and I could find my way through them easily by following the tracks shown on this meticulously drawn map. The only feature I can find that I previously knew nothing about is a neatly drawn sketch of a hill-top windmill.

On the downland side of the village, however, all is changed. The main London road, traversed by stage coaches, is recognisable, but all other tracks are marked by dotted lines, indicating that they were unfenced, unhedged, and doubtfully permanent. I am reminded of the old downland track from Salisbury to the village of

By Ralph Whitlock

Whitebury which, a hundred years ago, was marked out intervals by a series of small pyramids of chalk, called "chalk lights". On winter nights the light from the flickering oil-lamps on the carrier's cart faintly illuminated the next cone as he drew abreast of one, thus preventing him from getting lost on the downs. And more than one village in these parts has a tradition of legacies devoted to the ringing of church bells at dusk, for the benefit of travellers wandering on the downs.

Several events subsequent to 1773, when this map was drawn, transformed the downland scene, at least temporarily. During the wars with Napoleon the need for more home-produced food required much of the downland to be cultivated. Then in 1819 an enclosure act carved up an area of what were apparently open fields on the downland side of the village. Some of the hawthorn hedge then planted still mark the boundaries of farms, but they are very different from the broad hedges of mixed bushes which form a network on the forest side of the parish and are, in 1819, noted as "Ancient Enclosures".


By the end of the century, however, much of this new agricultural land was obsolete. Under the impact of vast imports of grain from the new lands overseas most of fields created when Napoleon's memory was still green were abandoned, and the downs reverted to their former status. As I remember them, once one had climbed the winding hill road out of the village the hedges and the fields ended and nothing but desolate downland undulated away to the horizon. Tracks were superfluous. You took your bearings by the sun and struck out in approximately the right direction.

That is how I remember the downs in the 1920s and 1930s, and what a paradise for wild life they were. For one thing, they were populated by millions of rabbits, their numbers hardly affected by occasional shooting and trapping. Their constant nibbling kept the fibrous turf short, creating ideal conditions for the wild thyme, barebells, milkwort, eyebright, rock-roses, orchids, and all the

other lowly downland flowers. In abandoned rabbit holes wheatears nested. Shrikes impaled beetles on convenient thorn-bushes. Stone curlews returned each March to nest in sufficient numbers to form flocks of 50 to 70 birds when they were collecting for autumn migration. For me as a boy the carolling in chorus of these curlews in the gathering dusk was the authentic voice of the downs.

Then the second world war required the extermination of these derelict lands, as in the days of Napoleon. Once again the plough did its work and this time it has not subsequently retreated. Chemical fertilizers, chemical sprays, improved varieties of farm crops and powerful machinery which makes nonsense of distance have made it possible to grow superlative crops of barley and even of wheat and other crops on the high downs. There are no ancient hedges to interfere with cultivation, the downland scrub has been bulldozed away, and the fields are now units of hundreds of acres, of monoculture.

But there are exceptions. In the area of my 1773 map the Government in 1912 acquired about 7,000 acres of downland for use by the Army. An adequate ring-fence was erected to keep people out: the few



Le Pont des Arts, Paris (c.1919).

Past with flying colours

SEURAT was too good an artist not to have made a success of the confining colour rules of Neo-Impressionism. Signac was too good an artist not to have broken away from them.

Seurat is remembered for great pointillist art pieces like La Grande Jatte. Signac's principal contribution to the theory of Neo-Impressionism was the extravagantly titled and faintly absurd Portrait of M. Felix Feneon Against The Enamel of a Beckoning Rhythmic with Beasts and Angles, Tones and Colours (1890). He also wrote a book called From Delacroix To Neo-Impressionism. But if he had never met Seurat and never become the movement's principle theorist, he would still

properties of watercolour: "je vous recommande l'aquarelle, c'est tres precieux, tres pratique," he wrote to Signac, and went on to extol the fugitive effects possible in the medium. Signac needed no second urging.

The most glorious of the little watercolours in this show is a rendering of St Tropez of around 1900, all high-keyed oranges and yellows offset by the cool blues of boats and reflections in the harbour. Matisse, who had read Signac's apology for divisionism, came to stay with Signac in 1904.

What he got from the book no one knows. What he took from Signac's painting is there for all to see. And its effect on colour field painting to this day has been more

the later enthusiasm for the American school of abstract expressionism. In 1977 Appel himself moved to New York. He was never a forgotten man exactly, but it was probably enthusiasm for the new figurative painting that has once again made him a big Appel to a wider public.

So maybe the romantics that are made with the younger men like Kiefer are inevitable and a little unfair to both parties. Kiefer, and company are tremendous naturalists. Appel, for all the gut appeal, is much more calculating; as a consequence the big political gestures, the subject matter embracing war and hunger come across as grandiose rather than deeply felt. Picasso's greatest

Paul Signac was the chief theorist of the Neo-Impressionists who broke the rules himself. His colours captivated Matisse and influence painters today. Michael McNey reports on the first exhibition of his work in this country for 30 years.

have painted wonderfully well.

When he met Seurat in 1884 he was 21 and already painting with pointillist enthusiasm. Though Seurat was deeply involved in scientific theories of the interaction of complementary colours, it was the untutored Signac who persuaded him to drop earth colours from his palette. Later Signac's use of colour was to captivate Matisse.

From the collection of watercolours and drawings gathered together at the Marlborough, you can see why. Signac lived until 1935 and painted until this and, without significant development. His paintings became more literal-minded, that is all. The Marlborough acquired a body of work from Signac's daughter, and have since added in that corpus so that this exhibition of studies, many never seen in public before, covers the half-century span of his career.

It starts with a conte crayon study made in 1885, the year after he met Seurat, and it might be mistaken for one of Seurat's own. In 1885, itself they both met Pissarro. They persuaded Pissarro to adopt divisionism; Pissarro persuaded Signac of the beneficial

widespread than any other innovation in the art of the last hundred years.

The Impressionists and their immediate successors have often been called the painters of the urban bourgeoisie, but it was at least as true that water was their element; water, the mirror of light and air.

Water came as naturally to Signac as to the fish in St Tropez harbour; water, watercolour, pencil and ink. The drawings of Paris are wonderfully acute, rhythmic, concise; the accents of trace against the arches of the Pont Neuf, the towers of Notre Dame, the spire signified by a single stroke, firm as a drumbeat, economical as calligraphy.

One of Signac's unlikely influences was Van Gogh, if only because the Dutchman introduced him to Japanese prints. Van Gogh's influence is much plainer in the work of Karel Appel. Appel, born in Amsterdam in 1921, professes the prime influence of Picasso, but it is Van Gogh who is basically present.

Appel was a founder-member in 1948 of Cobra (Copenhagen, Brussels, Amsterdam), which got lost in

painting was not Guernica, not by a long shot; but it had more real bottom than all Appel put together.

Yet it is extraordinary that after the realities of Bolshevism, Hiroshima, and Vietnam in living colour Appel feels able to face up to these subjects at all. A triptych like War and Hunger (1983) seems all overworked cliché: garish flames and artillery pieces to the left, an ashen heap to the right, in the centre skull-like pleading heads and dishes held out. Oliver Twist fashion. But the attack and the scale are pretty — no, horribly — convincing; maybe Appel needed to make the great humane statements if only to get started.

But big gestures don't necessarily make big paintings; and silly though the comparison may be, it is to hand: Signac 8½ inch by 10 inch St Tropez is a better painting than Appel's 8½ ft by 17 ft War and Hunger.

Paul Signac, watercolours and drawings at the Marlborough Gallery, 6 Albermarle Street, London W1, until December 31.

Karel Appel paintings 1980-5 at the Arnolfini Gallery, Narrow Quay, Bristol, until January 4.

Tales of the unexpected

CINEMA by Derek Malcolm

"MOVIES are a combination of sound and pictures, and stories are a trick to get you to keep paying attention." It could just be a statement from Jean-Luc Godard, but in fact the words come from David Byrne of Talking Heads. True Stories, his debut film, is as good as its word. It is an entirely new kind of musical, probably well ahead of it time.

Set in Virgil, Texas, and based on newspaper and magazine articles, it attempts to get the feel of the place and the people by meshing their stories together, illustrating them with songs and looking at the resultant human landscape with insatiably curious eyes. I've absolutely no idea how many people will like the film because there has been nothing like it before and, if it fails, there may not be again. My advice is simply to see it and see.

True Stories, at any rate, has two great virtues. The first is that it never tells you what to think so that you can make up your own mind. And the second is that its portrait of Texas resolutely refuses to go down the easy road of finding the place eccentrically longer than life. The fat man who wants love, the rich woman who lies in bed all day watching television and being fed by a mechanical spoon, and the oil-motol houses you can buy off the peg as you would a suit are observed as if they were quite ordinary — as probably they are in these parts.

The Talking Heads' songs themselves tune into their surroundings, using the different kinds of indigenous music, like gospel, cumbin, country and western, and even polka to maximum effect. The photography, by Ed Lachman, tries to get the feel of the light, the colours, the sky, and the arid open spaces.

Byrne himself is a kind of benignly ironic commentator, mostly at the wheel of his car, scudding down the highways which his eyes are the chief mark of this civilisation. He seems to like what he sees, while regretfully admitting that some may not. And his film, like a sketch book drawing on familiar American artists and writers, as well as his own thoughts, is the opposite of presumptuous.

True Stories ends with the lyric: "We live in the city of dreams, we drive on the highway of fire, should we awake, and find it gone, remember this, our favourite

town." There's not much doubt that we will. Hot on the heels of its London Festival showing, Joyce Chopra's *Smooth Talk* arrives at the Ronin, justly harried as the most intriguing debut featured from America this year. Based on a story by Joyce Carol Oates, called *Where Are You Going, Where Have You Been?* it is as delicate and convincing a study of adolescence as we've seen for some time.

At first you think it is just another youth movie as Connie, a 15-year-old Californian, quarrels with her parents, enacts through a shopping mall with her friends and daydreams with the mirror in front of her, practising an inconvincing sophistication. Only this time, you notice the kind of observation that gets further under the skin than most youth movies ever attempt.

This is a suburban girl with a careless hatred for everything that gets in the way of the main object in her life: which is to draw attention to herself by every possible means. Connie, superbly played by Laura Dern, is a child who looks like an adult and can't think of how to behave like one. And Chopra watches her with icy fascination.

So, too, does Trent Williams, the equally icy Arnold who, halfway through the film, arrives at her house in his custom-built car and proceeds to seduce her. Dimly, she knows what he's doing but, like a moth round a flame, cannot resist being burnt.

It's this long sequence which is the fulcrum of the film, and it relies on almost everything the modern American film is frightened of — words, glances, the building of atmosphere without action and a kind of moral force that pushes it beyond mere realism.

The film is in many ways a beautiful one, with cool, limpid photography from James Glennon and a screenplay by Tom Onia that pays the original author considerable tribute. It is about that point in time when the young suddenly grow up and see the corruption of the world. It is also about the force that's in all of us to test out what we can get away with, to reach out and be damned.

Chopra is clearly a talent it would be very unwise to ignore as Oates whose volume of short stories from which this was taken is called *Wild Saturday* and published here by J. M. Dent.

OVERSEAS PROJECT STAFF

HUNTING TECHNICAL SERVICES Limited is a leading international consulting firm involved in natural resource assessment and the planning, implementation and management of agricultural development overseas. To fill current and prospective vacancies for overseas projects the Company invites applications from candidates with skills in the following fields:

- Agricultural Project Management
- Agricultural and Project Economics
- Project Monitoring and Evaluation
- Irrigated and Dryland Crop Agronomy
- Farming Systems Research
- Project Accounting and Financial Control

Suitable candidates would be considered for permanent employment and should have a degree or equivalent professional qualification and at least four years' relevant experience in developing countries. Please apply with CV to:

Personnel Manager
HUNTING SURVEYS & CONSULTANTS LTD
Hunting
Elstree Way, Borehamwood, Herts WD6 1SB.

Make less room for poverty — and more room for justice

Start at the sharp end and try to do something to help; this is the way that Quakers have always sought to tackle problems. If you can't do something grand, you can still do something worthwhile.

QUAKER PEACE & SERVICE sets out to help with small projects in economically deprived countries, working with people to improve the quality of food, health, skills and knowledge — of life itself. Without the stress of poverty, there's more room for justice. In Britain, too, QPS

opens up paths towards peace: supporting positive local actions; enabling diplomats and politicians to voice their mutual doubts and fears away from the public eye; seeking to bring a religious viewpoint to discussions on the reduction of today's violence.

But we can't work all by ourselves; there are sixty practical projects to be maintained. The world becomes more costly everyday and the work becomes more vital. Please add your help to make more room for justice.



I want to help Quaker Peace & Service. Here is my contribution of

Name

Address

Send to: Quaker Peace & Service GW10
Friends House, Euston Road,
London NW1 2BJ
Oiro No. 513 7314 Registered Charity No. 237698

Tick box for more information.

- ☐ Asia
- ☐ Africa
- ☐ Middle East
- ☐ Latin America
- ☐ Peace and nonviolence
- ☐ Sharing World Resources
- ☐ United Nations
- ☐ Europe, Inc. N. Ireland
- ☐ East/West relations
- ☐ General

Sweet taste of Shandy

Nicholas de Jongh on a joyous production at Oxford

LAURENCE STERNE'S *Tristram Shandy* effortlessly swims "down the gutter of time" from the eighteenth century and into our own where it seems for more at home. Sterne threw off the novelist's traditional cooties of omniscience, order and articulate form for a great game of free association, in which the narrator tries to write the story of his life and finds it a mysterious wilderness where he and his family loom, flash and ruminate.

The form and atmosphere of the novel is admirably suited to the theatre and Peter Buckman's new adaptation, although necessarily condensed, distils the manner and method of the original. In Richard Williams' production Michael Holt's stage design, with its toylike, cardboard cut-outs and the frenetic pace of two clock faces, conveys the right mood of artifice and childlike playfulness.

What emerges, perhaps predictably, is the sense of jocular, discursive eccentricities playing life as if it were a sport and of *Tristram Shandy*, a narrator manipulating his characters as if they were puppets who found wills of their own.

Cock and bull bawdy, if one can so describe selectious toll stories, is the most accented device. Up a light of stairs, where a bed is rapidly concealed from view by a falling drape, the night-capped Mr



Michael Turner as Mr. Shandy

and Mrs. Shandy noisily go about the business of creating *Tristram*.

And from the window of this room comes a bolt from the blue when the five-year old *Tristram* is accidentally circumcised in a manner which defies description and from which our eyes are sensibly averted.

A miniature garden with topley, where gentle Uncle Toby and Jim McEneaney, gorgeously deadpan as his servant Trim, romp and fight old battles, becomes the scene for artful salsify where Toby's wounded groin, the importuning of the Widow Wadman and an undercover epologia for masturbatory techniques are all described in language of elegant circumlocution.

Dr Slop, the jovially incompetent medicine man, and the ever agitated maid are stock examples of comic warfare compered with the pompous Mr Shandy, deep in the realms of fantastical speculations upon the bridges of human noses. But the fragmentary random jollities prove to be bracing, endearing and delightful.

In Richard Williams' production there are times when the pace legs a little and Donald Peimeer's Uncle Toby seems a little too close to caricature. But David Melinson's bewigged and suave *Tristram* presides over the evening like a deft, elegant master of ceremonies delighted by the width and wanderings of his "play" and Michael Turner's Mr Shandy is a majestic fountain of absurdities.

The occasion provides a rare kind of pleasure — of the sort we discover when the life of a novel is recreated upon the stage.

The production visits Aberystwyth, Toulton and Poole.

Literary frog prince

By Julian Symons

EVELYN WAUGH: THE EARLY YEARS, 1903-1939, by Martin Stannard (Dent, £14.95).

EVELYN WAUGH was in various ways a peculiarly unpleasant man. He was the most obvious kind of snob, detesting the middle-class ambience into which he had been born, and seeking out the rich and influential. Eager for self-advertisement obtained through the gossip columns written by his friends, touting constantly for journalistic assignments, he had the nerve to say that journalism was too low a profession for an English gentleman. A novice in poetry, he condescended to the finest poets of his generation.

In later years he insulted friends, made drunken scenes, put a sign on the gate of his country house saying nobody would be admitted on business. "A common little man who happens to have written one or two moderately amusing novels", such was Duff Cooper's summing up, made in Waugh's presence.

A prime merit of Martin Stannard's account of Waugh's life from birth up to the War (the second volume to come) is that, without blinking the bowler-hatted clubman awfulness, he makes one end up feeling sorry for Evelyn Waugh, admiring his tenacity, even finding him sympathetic.

Waugh's childhood was marked by his father's evident preference for elder brother Alec, an all-round sportsman and passionately keen cricketer. Evelyn, uninterested in sport, became an aggressive aesthete, intended for his father's and brother's school, Sherborne. Evelyn had to settle for Lancing College instead, after Alec was asked to leave Sherborne because of homosexual activities.

At Lancing he helped to found a Dilettanti Society and a Corpse Club "for those weary of life," and brought home the kind of effeminate arty bachelor friend his father disliked. His record of drinking, homosexuality, and generally outrageous behaviour at Oxford is recorded in Waugh's own *Diaries*, although, Mr Stannard suggests, with some exaggeration.

In part, Waugh's conduct was directed against his father, a middle-class, middle-brow, and generally middling managing director of a publishing firm. "How I detest this house and how I feel in it," he said in his twenties when



Evelyn Waugh

staying in the family's villa in Golders Green. But he was also striving with the utmost seriousness from Lancing days onwards to be an artist.

"An artist must be a reactionary," he said towards the end of his life, and his own finest novels are the work of an intellectual reactionary who was a wonderfully skilful and original literary technician, but also a damaged human being.

How deeply damaged this biography shows. Painfully aware of his red face, shortness of height, foot size, homoclose background, comparative poverty, Waugh longed for the love of rich, beautiful girls. Rejection was frequent — Lady Diana Cooper, who liked him, said he was "the frog people can't endure." Rejection made him rude, turned him to drink, sent him off to write commissioned books about travels in Abyssinia, Tanganyika, the Belgian Congo.

Reception in 1930 into the Catholic church perhaps kept him from suicide or insanity, but he remained a snob, yearning always for action as a salve for dark thoughts.

This will without doubt be the standard biography, replacing Christopher Sykes's now slightly outdated work, and various memoirs.

Would Waugh have liked the book? Almost certainly not. He might have concluded that candid biography, like journalism, is not an occupation for an English gentleman.

Gorbachev's Russia — not quite Camelot on ice

THE WAKING GIANT: The Soviet Union in the Gorbachev Era, by Martin Walker (Michael Joseph, £12.95).

MOST readers of the Guardian I suspect, like myself, will be unaware that Martin Walker was only the third Guardian resident Moscow correspondent when he was appointed in 1984. (His distinguished predecessors were Arthur Ransome, best known for his children's books, and Malcolm Muggeridge). One happy result of his assignment is a book, *The Waking Giant*, which is highly readable, and could well serve as most people's bedside Guardian Guide to Russia. For the book does actually tell one what Russia is, "a country that takes eight days to cross by train, a country so huge that night never completely falls, a country that contains over a hundred nationalities, speaking over a hundred different tongues."

It is also revealing in explaining Moscow's anxiety about the wave of Islamic fundamentalism leaping its own southern borders. "In 1940, the combined population of the six traditionally Muslim republics of Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan, Tadzhikistan, Turkmenistan and Kirgizia was just 20 million. By 1985, it leaped to 52 million, and the birth rate of the southern republics soared for ahead of that of the white Slavs of the north. On current demographic trends, by the year 2000, 40 per cent of conscripts to the Soviet army will be Muslims."

It is only when one understands the immense diversity of modern Russia that one can appreciate the regional tensions and realise why the ruling Politburo are most unlikely to relax in any significant way the centralised authority so characteristic of Soviet Communism.

If you search for it in this book, there is a hard-headed assessment

David Owen reviews a book on Russia by Martin Walker

of Russian realities and warning messages for the average Guardian reader. For example: "There is a tendency in the West to assume that reformers are liberals. In the context of Soviet affairs, this is an unwise assumption. Although Andropov's career in the central committee under Khrushchev showed him to be an anti-Stalinist, and a man with sophisticated tastes in the arts, neither he nor any of his supporters was a liberal in any sense that the West would comprehend."

In the year that we remember the thirtieth anniversary of the bloody suppression of the Hungarian "Uprising" in which Andropov played such a key role, this is a timely warning.

But when it comes to discussing Mikhail Gorbachev, one detects that the author has been captivated, and indeed part of the charm of the book is the sense of hope that it gives for the future. Though he dismisses as "fanciful rambling" and a "bizarre parallel" the claim by one Gorbachev contemporary that they now had their Kennedy — he had gone on to say, "That's Moscow as Camelot. Camelot on ice" — one nevertheless is left wondering. There is, running through the book, the same mood music that characterised the writing out of Washington in the early part of the Kennedy Presidency.

If, as I believe, we are witnessing a profound change in Soviet

attitudes, we should be very careful not to exaggerate the pace at which those attitudes will reveal themselves in a change of direction of Soviet policy. With the exception of arms control, where there is undoubtedly a marked readiness to think afresh — largely fuelled by anxiety over the domestic economic burden of continuing the arms race — change will be slow to emerge.

Yet this book does point us in the directions in which to expect change. Whether in describing Moscow's secondhand car market, the clampdown against corruption,

the restructuring of the Foreign Office, or the role of women, one can sense that change is in the air. What the liberal-minded in the West need when approaching the Soviet Union is more knowledge.

This book undoubtedly helps to fill a big gap. But there are many gaps to fill. It was good to see (Guardian Weekly, November 2) Dr David Whitehouse describe in great detail the Soviet "Star Wars" programme. Many people believe that this only started with President Reagan, but in fact for more than a quarter of a century the Soviet Union has been increasing

defences to blunt the effectiveness of any ballistic missile attack. The Soviet anti-missile system has been under development for nearly 20 years.

It is this sort of duplicity that is commonplace when dealing with the Soviet Union. To virtually ignore this aspect, as this book does, is to miss a vital characteristic of Soviet society.

East-West relations are now going to improve. That is very welcome and the improvement could be far more dramatic than probably any of us realises. I have little doubt that before 1987 ends

there will be a truly significant arms control agreement with the prospect of more to come. But negotiating with the Soviet Union will still require wariness and hard-headed realism.

Gorbachev does represent more than just a new image. But there are as many question marks as there are opportunities. As Martin Walker himself recognises, "The country went through a social revolution while Brezhnev lasted. The wide-awake new leadership has to live with the consequences — and might just have the wisdom to enjoy them."

Oedipus at the Cocteau party

Michael Billington reviews *The Infernal Machine* at Hammersmith

AN APOCALYPTIC Thirties doom hangs over Hammersmith. A few hundred yards from Sher's Too Trus To Be Good at the Riverside Studios, Jean Cocteau's *The Infernal Machine* (1934) is given a rare, exotic revival by Simon Collow at the Lyric. Both plays suffer from garrulity but both are filled with a sense of impending destruction and an hallucinatory quality that says a lot about the flight from realism in the inter-war years.

Cocteau's play, a mix of high tragedy and high camp, is a retelling of the Oedipus myth largely from Jocasta's point of view. The weakness of the play is that Cocteau never seems quite sure what he thinks. Reversing the inherent justice of Greek tragedy, he shows men in relation to the gods as flea to wasps: boys killed for sport. Yet, at the same time, the youthfully arrogant Oedipus is transformed by suffering from "a playing-card king" into a man. The play is also haunted by a sense of sexual confusion so that mother-fixation is both idealised ("Is there a couple more proud of themselves than a son and a young mother?") and rigorously punished when it leads to incest.

Constructed like an opiate dream, parts of the play now seem poppy Cocteau, in particular the second act, in which the Sphinx (well played by Veronica Smart as a alip of a girl in a girlish alip) voluntarily yields her secret to Oedipus.

Ironically, Cocteau's play is strongest when it is most derivative: in the first act which is like a jinky re-play of the battlement-avenue in Hamlet with the ghost of Lavinia hourly expected, and in the fourth act which gets back to Sophoclean basics. The overall impression is of a hothouse period-piece which suggests that, in the modern era as much as the classical world, man is the victim of a cruel and arbitrary fate.

Whatever the play's imperfections, I am still glad to have seen it; and the casting of Meggie Smith (as both Jocasta and a



Meggie Smith in *Cocteau*

Theban mother) is a cunning stroke since she has the rare ability to move from nasal camp to real emotion with no visible change of gear. Drawing and drooping over a young guard in the first act ("Ooh look at those hips") she is extremely funny; yet she also gets across the point that Jocasta's dream of youth will be the tragedy. Ms Smith, with a voice that can swoop like a lute or be pure as ice, exists simultaneously in the world of a Cocteau party and classical tragedy: in the final act tiny movements to her gold-coin profile tell us all we need to know about Jocasta's suicidal horror.

Her performance alone is worth the docteur. But Simon Collow also rightly swaths the piece in a florid, Bonthelesque theatricality. Bruno Santini's designs begin startlingly with a smoke-wreathed precipitous flight of stairs evoking

Gordon Craig and end with a bare, stark platform. Kevin Malpass's soundtrack also gives us the full aural works from echoing drums to what might be the murmur of innumerable bees.

The actors, to their credit, remain unswayed with Lambert Wilson lending Oedipus a gauche, youthful confidence, Robert Eddison playing Tiresias like an over-protective mother-hen and Neil Cunningham appearing as a suave Cocteauesque commentator telling us what is going to happen before it does.

The play often tests one's patience: the production rewards it. And while Cocteau looks tragic depth, his warning about the dangers of a society hungry for "strong men" suddenly cuts through the camp and rings true after half-a-century.

Gandhi's banker

By Tariq Ali

THE EMISSARY, by Alan Ross (Collins/Harvill, £14).

THE GIANT trading houses of Birla and Tata have dominated Indian industry since the early years of this century. It is tempting to see them as the Indian equivalent of Ford and Rockefeller, but the analogy is more partial than most. Birla and Tata constructed their commercial empires under the watchful eyes of their British rulers.

This book is an interesting, albeit uncritical, account of a crucial phase in the life of India and G. D. Birla. Unlike every other book on the British, Indian and the highly developed trading caste. Without the tariff barriers and other restrictions imposed by colonialism, there is little doubt that industrialisation would have proceeded at a rapid pace, multiplying the creation of wealth and the wealth.

This did not happen. Dwarfed against their will, India's capitalists had little option but to become

a nationalist bourgeoisie, which saw in the Congress Party a political vehicle that could champion its interests against those of imperialism.

Birla's lifelong friendship with Gandhi was totally genuine (it was in Birla's Delhi mansion that the Mahatma was brutally slain by a Hindu fanatic), but it was also deeply symbolic, and helped to determine the shape of pre-independence politics.

Birla was one of the most shrewd and insightful capitalists of the twentieth century. When his fellow members of the Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry (FICCI) wanted publicly to attack and isolate Nehru and split the Congress, Birla's strategic insights proved decisive.

Nehru was in 1935-6 at his most radical. He was arguing that a free India would dispense with the capitalists of industry and embark on a socialist course. Birla's fellow capitalists were appalled. Their leader explained to them patiently that a split between Gandhi and Nehru would be a gigantic disaster

for FICCI and its interests. Their future was best guaranteed by a tamed Nehru, who would prove to be as vital in the post-independence phase as Gandhi has been in the struggle which led up to freedom. Birla said all this in the thirties!

Birla, a broker by profession and heredity, belonged to the Marwari caste which still dominates the Bombay stock exchange. Unlike his colleagues he also became a political broker: an important mediator between the colonial state and Gandhi and between Gandhi and Nehru. He financed the Congress and Gandhi. The weepish Sarojini Neidup (poet and Congress leader) once remarked that Birla's millions were necessary to keep Gandhi in the poverty to which he was accustomed. There was more than a grain of truth in the witicism.

Alan Ross's book contains most of this information, but for a poet's book it is a somewhat dry read, bereft of life and colour. This is a great pity because both the subject and the period lack neither.

P r e m i u m A c c o u n t

HIGH INTEREST PAID GROSS
WHEN YOU'RE OVERSEAS
AND CURRENT ACCOUNT
FLEXIBILITY
WHEN YOU'RE AT HOME.

Just because you're living away from the U.K. doesn't mean you have to sever all close links.

Available in Jersey, The Royal Bank of Scotland Premium Account allows you to earn a high rate of interest paid gross.

But that's not all. Other attractions include direct debits and standing order facilities, which can be arranged to pay bills originating in the U.K. no matter where you are. There's also a cheque book.

cheque and cashline card to use when you're back in the U.K. (So much easier than travellers' cheques, bank transfers, foreign exchange etc.) And to keep as much of your money as possible earning

interest, there's no minimum withdrawal and the first 10 withdrawals per quarter are free of service charges. The minimum sum required to open a Premium Account is £2,500. For more information and an application form send off the coupon.

IT ALL POINTS TO
THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND

Please send me full details and an application form for a Premium Account.

Name (Mr/Mrs/Miss)
Address

Please post the completed coupon to: The Royal Bank of Scotland plc, P.O. Box 369, 44 Esplanade, St. Helier, Jersey.



The Royal Bank of Scotland plc


Registered Office, 36 St. Andrew Square, Edinburgh EH2 2YB. Registered in Scotland No. 29312.

*The first ten withdrawals (cheques, standing orders, direct debits or cashline withdrawals) per quarter are free. Thereafter each withdrawal costs 35p.

Chess

By Leonard Barden

No. 1933



White mates in three moves, against any defence (by R. F. Fegan, 1884) — a problem with a hidden trap.

Solution No. 1932

White K at K1, R at Q5 and KN1, B at Q8, N at K4, P at Q2, K2, K3 and K5. Black K at K5, Q at Q3, N at Q2, P at Q3, Q6, K7, K8 and K3. Mate in three.

1 R-KB1 (threat 2 P-B3 ch and 3 N-N2) PxR(Q) 2 R-N3 and 1 KxN 3 R-Q2 or Q-N7 ch 3 R-N3. If 1... P-B6 2 R-KN1 and 3 R-N4.

AFTER Karpov v Karpov, the currently fashionable chess event is a super-tournament — highly rated, elite, and confined to the very best players in the world. Bugojin in Yugoslavia set the trend in the Spring when it claimed the first category 1B event, with an average rating for the eight participants of 2628, equivalent to 263 on the BCF grading scale. Bugojin's organisers received adverse publicity when it was argued that they

were not, after all, the first category 1B tournament, but their results still gained wide interest, notably because of Karpov's loss to the young Sokolov. Now another heavyweight contender, the annual Interpolis Insurance event at Tilburg in Holland, has aimed to reassert its position as king of the super-tournaments. Tilburg, with an average rating of 2618, fell marginally short of category 1B, but for an honourable reason. Their lowest rated player was Tony Miles (2570) but Miles won Tilburg 1984 and last year he brought the event global headlines when, with an injured back, he played stomach down on a hospital massage trolley.

Miles's opening round at Tilburg 1988 began with a much debated variation of the Queen's Indian Defence. Miles had lost an earlier game with this line, but now arrived at the board with a novel strategy that Belyavsky resigned in under three hours play.

GM Tony Miles (England) — GM Alexander Belyavsky (USSR) Queen's Indian Defence (interpolis, Tilburg 1988)

1 P-Q4 N-K3 2 P-Q4 P-K3 3 N-KB3 P-Q3 4 P-K3 P-Q3 5 B-N3 B-N2 6 P-K3 P-Q3 7 B-R4 P-K4 8 B-N3 N-K6 9 Q-B2 P-Q3 10 B-Q3 B-N4 11 P-B3 P-K4 12 P-Q3 N-B4 13 P-K4 14 P-Q3 N-B4 15 P-N5 16 N-Q4 Q-B3 17 Q-Q N-B 18 Q-Q N-B

This push, weakening Black's pawn front before opening up the centre, adds bite to White's strategy. Games in the final 13 N-Q4 Q-B3 14 P-B4 N-P3 was less effective.

Bugojin's organisers received adverse publicity when it was argued that they

In Karpov-Timman, 4th match game 1985, and Miles-Timman, from a later round at Tilburg 1988, Black tried 15... N(1)-R3, but this also proved favourable for White.

16 QxN P-K4 17 NxB B-B1

Now 16 P-K4 BxN 18 PxB N-Q2 favours Black, since White's pawns are doubled and 20 P-B4 PxP ap 21 PxP Q-Q gives Black the KN file for his attack. In Karpov-Timman, 8th game 1985, Black did well, but the Yugoslav Popovic, Yugoslav champion ship 1985, improved by 19... Q-Q1 20

P-B3 Q-N2 with advantage for Black. However, Miles and Timman analysed the position further and came up with another improvement.

16 P-B4

The right way to sacrifice the piece, since White now opens up the black king on several fronts.

16... QxN 18 P-K4 Q-R4 20 PxP PxP 21 P-B5 K-Q1

An ingenious idea, artificial queen's side castling, but the attack is too strong.

22 P-Q6 Q-K1 23 PxP ch KxP 24 Q-Q6 N-B3 25 R-B7 ch B-Q2 26 Q-R4 R-Q1 27 R(1)-B6 K-B1

Bridge

By Rixi Markus

THE Ceolno et Desuville's World Bridge Festival comprises two weeks of exciting events, with hundreds of players making it part of their annual holiday. In the afternoon, there is a Vu-Crath contest for the Desuville Cup between four countries, this year the national squads of Austria, Belgium, Holland and France. Austria were the convincing winners; and the overall individual champion was Austria's Jan Fucik, who won most of the major events. Here is an ordinary hand which Fucik played very skilfully. Dealer South; love all.

NORTH
K 2
A 10 4
A 8
Q 7 4

WEST
8 5
7 5 2
Q 5
K J 10 8 2

EAST
J 10 4 3
K Q 8 3
K 7 6 3
3

SOUTH
A Q 7 5
8
J 10 4 2
A 6 5 5

WEST
Fucik

NORTH
Morkue

EAST
NB

WEST
10 NB 1H NB

15 NB 2C NB

3NT NB 3D NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

WEST
NB NB

singleton club. This was ducked to West's king, and West switched back to hearts. This was the moment of truth for declarer. He went up with dummy's ace, crossed to hand with the ace of spades and led the jack of diamonds to the queen and eos. East won the third diamond with this king, leaving the following position:

NORTH
K 8
J 4
Q 7

WEST
9 7
J 10 9

EAST
J 10 4
Q 8
7

SOUTH
Q 7 8
10
A 8

South became the declarer in 8S after East had opened the bidding with 3C. West led the queen at diamonds, and south won with the ace and cashed the ace of clubs, discarding the losing diamond from dummy.

Declarer's problem on this hand was the shortage of entries to the closed hand. He could not afford to expend the king of spades at trick three, and he found the key play of running the jack of spades on the first round of the suit. When this trick, he turned his attention to hearts, running the ten of hearts to East's king. South was now in control. He ruled the club ruff in dummy, crossed to the king of spades and drew trumps by way of a second finesse in the suit. The 3-2 heart break then gave him twelve tricks with no further difficulty.

Some players suggested afterwards that this was not a good slam, but I always maintain that any slam which makes is a good one!

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed into a slam by an opposing pre-empt. Dealer East; game all.

West led the two of hearts to the ten and queen, and East switched to his

East should now have cashed the queen of hearts, but he greedily exited with his remaining diamond. Declarer won with the ten, discarding a heart from dummy, and cashed his two club winners, the second of which squeezed East in the major suit. Jan Fucik therefore emerged with ten tricks and a good match-point score on the board.

This second hand was played by the Austrian champion Kurt Feichtinger after he and his partner, Karl Rohen, had been pushed